101 Illustrated Analysis Bedtime Stories

Special *Bounded* Edition

AS TOLD BY:

Sunshine DuBois (030199d@acadiau.ca)

AND

Colin Macdonald (028741m@acadiau.ca)[1]

[1]This work was generously supported by multiple NSERC grants — they just don't know it yet :-) shhh. . .

Dedication

For Dr. E. R. Bishop, who inspired this work and whose classes provided ample time to ponder the connection between real analysis and fairy tales.

Acknowledgments

The authors of this monograph wish to extend their thanks to the Academy and, in no particular order, the following: Ben Baird (for doing our "real" analysis homework and for editing), Everyone on the bus at CUMC 2000 (for all the ideas), Dr. F. Mendivil (Topology class added fuel to the creative fire), and of course Dr. E. R. Bishop.

Chapter 1

ε-Red Riding Hood and the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Once upon a time[1] a long long time ago back when Fermat's Last Theorem would still fit in a margin, $\exists$ a little[2] girl named ε-Red Riding Hood (see Figure 1.1). ε-Red

Figure 1.1: ε-Red Riding Hood with her basket of lemmas and π.

Riding Hood was trying to find the shortest path through the forest $\mathbb{F}$, a subfield of $\mathbb{X}$, to Γ's domain. She was carrying a basket full of lemmas[3] and π, to give to Γ (see Figure 1.2) who had a degenerate case of discontinuity.

Meanwhile, independently, the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (see Figure 1.3) was on a random walk through $\mathbb{F}$. As t approached T_0, T-time, the paths of ε-Red Riding Hood and the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem converged.

"Hello ε-Red Riding Hood, may I ask you a question?", asked the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

"You may indeed provided it is well-posed", stated ε-Red Riding Hood.

"Then what is your limit?" queried the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

"Why, I'm uniformly bound to Γ's domain" replied ε-Red Riding Hood.

[1] $\exists$ a day $\in \mathbb{T}$ime...

[2] That is, given $\epsilon > 0$, she was within ϵ of 0

[3] And you know what they say about lemmas; "...when life hands you lemmas, make lemmanade."



Figure 1.2: ε-Red Riding Hood's Γ.

"Well in that case Q.E.D." concluded the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, and with that he commuted off into the forest. The Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem was able to map himself into $\mathbb{C}^n$ and thus approached Γ's domain in a way such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\text{Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem}) > \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon\text{-Red Riding Hood}) \tag{1.1}$$

held. Soon afterwards, at $t = T_1$, the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem reached the boundery at Γ's domain. However Γ's domain was compact and thus by the Heine-Borel theorem it was closed and bounded.[4]

Figure 1.3: The Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

"Who approaches $\partial(\mathrm{dom}(\Gamma))$?" asked Γ.

"It is I, ε-Red Riding Hood" replied the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, "I'm bringing you lemmas and π."

"In that case, I will find a convergent sequence, x_k, such that,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k \notin \mathrm{dom}(\Gamma),$$

[4]provided of course that The Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem knocked on the door, $\mathbb{D} \subset \partial(\mathrm{dom}(\Gamma))$.

thus creating an opening in $\partial(\text{dom}(\Gamma))$." And having stated thus, she unlocked and opened $\mathbb{D}$.

"You're not ε-Red Riding Hood, you're the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem!", gasped Γ.

"Be that as it may, I'm still going to eat you!", exclaimed the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

"No, that proposition is false!" argued Γ.

But the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem made the assumption that her argument was in fact an integer and thus, by the identity

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n!, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

turned Γ in to a factorial. It follows that this made her more appetizing and he thus proceeded to gobble her up. Next, the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem cleverly disguised himself as

$$\int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt, \qquad x \in (0, \infty).$$

He climbed into Γ's bed where he found a collection of blankets, $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$. The Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem arranged the blankets such that

$$\text{Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda} V_\alpha.$$

That is, such that he was *covered* by them.

At $t = T_2$ such that $T_2 > T_1$, ε-Red Riding Hood approached $\text{dom}(\Gamma)$. She knocked on $\mathbb{D}$ and was greeted by the cleverly disguised voice of the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem: "Come in Dear and let us both choose $n, m \geq N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that our sequences of positions will be within $\epsilon > 0$ of each other."

"Oh Γ, then our sequences will be Cauchy and therefore we will converge!" exclaimed ε-Red Riding Hood.[5] As ε-Red Riding Hood was climbing[6] into bed next to the cleverly disguised Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, she dislodged one of the blankets in $\mathcal{V}$. Therefore, she noted that part of him was starting to diverge.[7] She found this rather suspicious and observed "What discrete points you have, Γ."

"All the better to disconnect you with, my Dear!" replied the cleverly disguised Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

"And what big reals you have, Γ," exclaimed ε-Red Riding Hood.

"All the better to bound you with, my Dear!" shouted the cleverly disguised Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem as he leapt out from under $\mathcal{V}$.

---

[5] We note that $\text{dom}(\Gamma)$ is a *complete* topological space and thus by Cauchy's theorem, a sequence converges if and only if it is Cauchy.

[6] That is, she was *monotonically increasing.*

[7] The Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem failed to notice that the gamma function is defined by $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt$, for $x \in (0, \infty)$ *only when* this integral converges.

It just so happens that a friendly boundary cutter (who incidently enjoyed surfing in his spare time) was walking by in $\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathrm{dom}(\Gamma)$ on his way to work with his trusty axe of extended reals (see Figure 1.4). He heard ε-Red Riding Hood's cries for help



Figure 1.4: The axe of extended reals.

and rushed through the open $\mathbb{D}$. With one swipe of his axe of extended reals, he unbounded the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem limb from limb. "Dude! that's like *so* totally disconnected!" exclaimed the boundary cutter.

They then noticed the dismembered pieces of Γ were in the interior of the Big Bad Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. "Oh my poor poor Γ" cried ε-Red Riding Hood.

"Don't be sad dude, I think we could, like, fix her and stuff given necessary and sufficient conditions" comforted the friendly boundary cutter.

"As a matter of fact, I just happen to have the Pasting Lemma right here in my basket!" replied ε-Red Riding Hood in excitement and handed it to him.

With a flourish of activity, he Pasted Γ back together and announced "There now she's totally bounded dude!"

Both ε-Red Riding Hood and Γ were very thankful and offered the friendly boundary cutter some π from ε-Red Riding Hood's basket. They all sat down and shared some π. Unfortunately, ε-Red Riding Hood found the π to be somewhat *coarse*[8].

After the π, the friendly boundary cutter went merrily on his way to work.

And $\forall x \in \{\varepsilon\text{-Red Riding Hood}, \Gamma, \text{friendly boundary cutter}\}$, x lived happily $\forall t$ as $t \to \infty$.$\blacksquare$

Q.E.D.

[8]This is probably because $\pi \subseteq (\varepsilon\text{-Red Riding Hood})$ and ε-Red Riding Hood was mighty fine!

Chapter 2

Chapters 2–101 are left as an exercise for the reader ...

Bibliography

[1] William R. Wade, *An Introduction To Analysis*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, (1995).

[2] James Munkres, *Topology*, 2nd ed, Prentice Hall, (2000).

[3] *The Little Red Riding Hood Project: Text and Image Archive Inventory*, [http://www-dept.usm.edu/ engdept/lrrh/inventt.htm], accessed: 30/03/2001.