ON RELATIONS BETWEEN JACOBIANS OF CERTAIN MODULAR CURVES

IMIN CHEN

Abstract. We confirm a conjecture of L. Merel describing a certain relation between the jacobians of various quotients of $X(p)$ in terms of specific correspondences. The method of proof involves reducing this conjecture to a question about certain $\mathbb{Z}[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module homomorphisms, which is in turn answered by exhibiting some peculiar relations in a double coset algebra.

1. Introduction

For an odd prime $p$, let $X = X(p)/\mathbb{Q}$ be the modular curve which classifies elliptic curves with full level $p$-structure. The curve $X$ has an action of $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and for every subgroup $H$ of $G$, there is a quotient $\pi_H : X \to X_H$ which is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The subject of this paper concerns a relation between the jacobians of $X_H$ for certain subgroups $H$ of $G$. This relation was verified in [4] [5] using the trace formula, and later by Edixhoven [11] using the representation theory of $G$. It has been noted in one form or another by several people including Gross [16], Ligozat [22], Elkies [7].

To describe this relation, suppose now that $p$ is an odd prime and denote by $J_H$ the jacobian of the quotient curve $X_H$. The group $G$ also acts on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p^2) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{\lambda}])$, where $(\sqrt{\lambda}) = -1$, from which we define subgroups $B$, $N$, $N'$ as the stabilisers in $G$ of $\infty$, $\{\infty, 0\}$, $\{\sqrt{\lambda}, -\sqrt{\lambda}\}$, respectively. The relation of jacobians which concerns us is then

**Theorem 1** (Chen, Edixhoven).

\[ J_N \times J_B \text{ is isogenous over } \mathbb{Q} \text{ to } J_N \times J_G \]

where we have included $J_G$ (which is trivial in this case) to indicate the form of the relation in more general contexts.


This paper will confirm Merel’s conjectural description (Theorem 2). To explain this description, we introduce some terminology. A sequence of morphisms in an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$

\[ \cdots \longrightarrow A_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1}} A_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} A_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \]
will be called almost-exact if it becomes exact in the category $C \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. the category whose objects are the same as $C$, but $\text{Hom}_{C \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(A \otimes \mathbb{Q}, B \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$).

If $C$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, then this is equivalent to the sequence being a chain with torsion homology groups $\Phi_i$. Using this terminology, Theorem 1 can be rephrased as

**Theorem 1 (reformulation).** There exist homomorphisms of abelian varieties $(\phi_{GB}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{NN'})$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

\[
0 \longrightarrow J_G \xrightarrow{\phi_{GB}} J_B \xrightarrow{\phi_{BN}} J_N \xrightarrow{\phi_{NN'}} J_{N'} \longrightarrow 0
\]

is almost-exact in the category $\widehat{AV}$ of complete algebraic groups over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(as a matter of convention throughout, we shall use the label $H_1 H_2$ to denote a morphism from an object associated with $H_1$ to an object associated with $H_2$)

Given a quotient $\pi_H : X \to X_H$, we denote by $\pi_H^* : J_H \to J$ and $\pi_{H*} : J \to J_H$ the homomorphisms of jacobians which are induced by Picard and Albanese functoriality. Since $G$ acts on the right of $X$, there is left action of $G$ on $J$ by Picard functoriality so that an element of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ yields an endomorphism of $J$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $C$ and $C'$ are the stabilisers in $G$ of $(\infty, 0)$ and $(\sqrt{\lambda}, -\sqrt{\lambda})$, respectively. Then $N = C \cup \omega C$ and $N' = C' \cup \omega' C'$ are the normalisers in $G$ of $C$ and $C'$, respectively, where

\[
\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

With the above comments in mind, the conjectural description of the relation of jacobians in Theorem 1 alluded to earlier [8] [25] which will be proved in this paper is the following.

**Theorem 2 (Merel’s conjecture).** A choice of $(\phi_{GB}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{NN'})$ in Theorem 1 is given by

\[
(\phi_{GB}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{NN'}) = (\pi_G \circ \pi_B, \pi_{N*} \circ |G| (1 - pr_G) (1 + \omega) \circ \pi_B^*, \left[\frac{p + 1}{2}\right] \circ \pi_{N*} \circ \pi_N^*).
\]

where for a subgroup $H$ of $G$, we let $pr_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$ be the projector to the $H$-invariants.

We note that these choices of $(\phi_{GB}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{NN'})$ are not optimal. For instance, we may replace $\phi_{NN'}$ by the simpler homomorphism $\pi_{N*} \circ \pi_N^*$ and still retain almost-exactness. We have chosen these particular homomorphisms, which are multiples of the ones conjectured by Merel, because they are the choices which are induced by natural operators coming from representation theory (see Lemma 6.5).

The method of proof involves reducing the almost-exactness of the above sequence of jacobians to the exactness of an associated sequence of $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules, where the $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module homomorphisms in the sequence are given by certain double coset operators. An easy application of character theory shows that this sequence of $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules is exact if and only if one can show certain character sums involving the number of points on a non-trivial family of elliptic curves modulo $p$.
are non-zero. Although these character sums seem rather intractable, we exhibit some relations between double coset operators which allow one to simplify them to Legendre character sums [12] [28] and Soto-Andrade sums [19] [31]. Having done so, we show that they are non-zero by using the fact that \( p \) does not ramify in the cyclotomic fields generated by these character sums.

The appearance of Legendre character sums and Soto-Andrade sums is suggestive. It is known that natural descriptions of the representations of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \) induced from Borel subgroups are described in terms of finite field analogues of hypergeometric functions [14] [15], following indications in [17] [21]. These finite field hypergeometric functions are in turn related to Legendre character sums [28] in a manner similar to the relation between hypergeometric functions and Legendre polynomials in the classical case. Similarly, Soto-Andrade sums appear in the analysis of the representations which are induced from non-split Cartan subgroups [31].

The relation of jacobians in Theorem 1 has connections to a question of Serre [29] which asks whether there are only finitely-many primes \( p \) for which there exists a non-CM elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) whose the mod \( p \) representation is non-surjective.

Indeed, if the mod \( p \) representation of an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is non-surjective, then it has image lying in a maximal proper subgroup of \( G \), i.e. a conjugate of \( B, N, N' \), or an exceptional group \( S \) whose projective image is \( S_4 \) (the groups \( A_4 \) and \( A_5 \) do not occur due to properties of the Weil pairing). However, such elliptic curves are essentially classified by the quotient curves \( X_B, X_N, X_{N'}, X_S \) [10] so that such an elliptic curve gives rise to a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational point on one of these curves.

The question can therefore be rephrased as whether there are only finitely-many primes \( p \) such that the quotient curves \( X_B, X_N, X_{N'}, X_S \) have a non-cuspidal, non-CM \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational point.

Mazur has answered the question in the affirmative for the modular curve \( X_B \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}_0(p) \) [24]. Indeed it is shown that for \( p > 163 \), the only \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational points on \( X_0(p) \) are cuspidal. In [23, p. 36], it is noted that Serre has shown that \( X_S \) has no \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational points for \( p > 13 \). Momose has obtained some partial results for the modular curve \( X_N \) [26], in particular when \( J_0(p)^- \) has rank 0.

For the quotient curve \( X_{N'} \), the relation of jacobians in Theorem 1 suggests that obtaining information about the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational points of the curve \( X_{N'} \) via its jacobian is difficult [5] since by standard conjectures about \( L \)-functions of abelian varieties over \( \mathbb{Q} \) [32], this abelian variety does not have any non-trivial quotients with finite Mordell-Weil group over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Serre’s question enters into the analysis of diophantine problems similar to Fermat’s Last Theorem [25], [27], [8]. However, in these contexts, the elliptic curves in question have extra level structure. This fact was used in [8] to give a solution of Dènes’ conjecture by considering a variant of the relation of jacobians in Theorem 1 [7].

Edixhoven’s proof [11] of Theorem 1 uses the fact that an idempotent relation in \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \) induces an isogeny relation between the corresponding quotients of \( J \). This technique was in fact considered previously by Kani and Rosen [18], following work of Accola [1] [2], though they did not consider the particular relation of Theorem 1. Kani and Rosen also give an equivalent formulation in terms of character identities [18]. We note also that Edixhoven formulates his argument more generally so that it applies to an object \( J \) in an additive \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear pseudo-abelian category on which \( G \) acts.
In the case of Theorem 1, the relevant idempotent relation which was proved by Edixhoven [11] using the character table of $G$ is

**Theorem 3** (Edixhoven).

$$(\text{pr}_{N'} + \text{pr}_B)(1 - \text{pr}_G) \text{ is } \mathbb{Q}[G]^{\times} \text{-conjugate to } \text{pr}_N(1 - \text{pr}_G).$$

This is equivalent using Frobenius reciprocity to the character identity

**Theorem 4.**

$$1_{N'} + 1_B = 1_N + 1_G,$$

where $1_H$ denotes the character of the representation $\mathbb{Q}[G/H]$ associated to $H$ (i.e. the trivial representation over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $H$ induced to $G$). We note that Arsenault has subsequently given a direct calculation of the above character identity in his thesis [3].

Although it is not too difficult to show the existence of the above idempotent relation via character theory, Merel’s conjecture is in some sense a step towards understanding why this relation exists in an explicit way.
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3. **Reduction to representation theory**

In this section, we show how the almost-exactness of a sequence of jacobians such as the one in Theorem 1 and 2 can be deduced from the almost-exactness of an associated sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules.

The action of $G$ on the abelian group $J(C)$ by Picard functoriality allows one to regard $J(C)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module. For any subgroup $K$ of $G$, we then have the identifications $J(C)^K = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[K]}(1, J) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/K], J(C))$ by Frobenius reciprocity.

Let $C$ be a curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Its jacobian is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote by $\text{Pic}^0(C)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of divisors modulo linear equivalence which are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Abel-Jacobi, $\text{Pic}^0(C)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ can be identified with $J(C)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ in a way which is compatible with the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [20] [33]. The multiplication by $[n]$ homomorphism on $J$ is described on divisors by sending a divisor class $D$ to $nD$. In particular, since $[n]$ is an isogeny on $J$, it follows for every divisor class $D$, there exists a divisor class $D'$ such that $nD' \sim D$.

Let $X, Y$ be curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and suppose $\pi : X \to Y$ is a non-constant map, also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The homomorphisms of jacobians $\pi^* : J(Y) \to J(X)$ and $\pi_* : J(X) \to J(Y)$ induced by Picard and Albanese functoriality, respectively, are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, on the level of divisors, they can be described
as follows. The homomorphism \( \pi^* \) sends a divisor \( D = \sum_{y \in Y} \alpha_y y \) on \( Y \) to its pullback divisor \( \pi^*(D) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \alpha_y c_{\pi,x} x \) on \( X \) where \( c_{\pi,x} \) denotes the ramification index of \( x \) with respect to the map \( \pi \). The homomorphism \( \pi_* \) sends a divisor \( D = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x \) on \( X \) to its push-down divisor \( \pi_*(D) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x \pi(x) \).

The above implies for instance that \( \pi_* \circ \pi^* = [\deg(\pi)] \). These descriptions follow from the theory of correspondences [30] [33] [20].

We begin with two lemmas which concern the subgroup variety of \( J \) on which \( H \) acts trivially and the image of the jacobian \( J_H \) under the morphism \( \pi_H \).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( J_H = \cap_{h \in H} \ker(h - 1) \). Let \( \Sigma_H : J \to J \) be the morphism \( \Sigma_H : x \mapsto \sum_{h \in H} hx \). Then the connected component of the identity, \( J^{\theta}_H \), is an abelian subvariety of \( J \) which is equal to \( \im \Sigma_H \), and the group of connected components of \( J^{\theta}_H \) is killed by multiplication by \( |H| \).

**Proof.** The image \( \im \Sigma_H \) of \( \Sigma_H \) is an abelian subvariety of \( J \). On \( \mathbb{C} \)-points, we have that \( J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) = J(\mathbb{C})^{\theta} \), and \( \im \Sigma_H \subset J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) \) (since it lies in \( J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) \), is connected, and contains the identity). Furthermore, the morphism \( \Sigma_H \) when restricted to \( J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) \) is multiplication by \( |H| \) so the image \( \im \Sigma_H \) contains \( J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) \). This also shows that multiplication by \( |H| \) kills the group of connected components of \( J^{\theta}_H \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \pi_H : X \to X_H \) be the quotient map. Then \( \im \pi_H^* \) is contained in \( J^{\theta}_H \) and the morphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_H^* & : J^H \to J^{\theta}_H \\
\pi_H^* & : J^{\theta}_H \to J^H \\
\end{align*}
\]

are isogenies with kernel killed by multiplication by \( \deg \pi_H \).

**Proof.** For \( h \in H \), we see that \( \pi_H \circ h = \pi_H \). Hence, \( h^* \circ \pi_H^* = \pi_H^* \) so \( \im \pi_H^* \subset J^{\theta}_H \).

We therefore have the following sequence of morphisms.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
J^H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H^*} & J^{\theta}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H^*} & J^H \\
J^{\theta}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H^*} & J^H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H^*} & J^{\theta}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H^*} & J^H \\
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \pi_H^* \circ \pi_H^* = [\deg(\pi_H)] \), we see that \( \pi_H^* \) has finite kernel and \( \pi_H^* \) is surjective.

The morphism \( \pi_H^* \circ \pi_H^* \) when restricted to \( J^{\theta}_H \) is multiplication by \( \deg(\pi_H) \): An element of \( J^{\theta}_H(\mathbb{C}) \subset J(\mathbb{C})^{\theta} \) corresponds to a divisor class \( [D] \) which is invariant under the action of \( H \). By the Lemma 3.1, \( [D] = [\Sigma_H(D')] = [\sum_{h \in H} h^*(D')] \) for some divisor \( D' \) of degree 0. Let \( D'' = \sum_{h \in H} h^*(D') \). Then \( [D'''] = [D] \) and \( h^*(D''') = D'' \). Write \( D'' \) in the form \( D'' = \sum_{P \in X_H(\mathbb{Q})} \sum_{\pi_H(Q) = P} n_P Q \). Since the extension of function fields associated with \( X \to X_H \) is Galois, for each \( Q \in X(\mathbb{C}) \), \( e_Q Q = \deg(\pi_H) \), where \( e_Q \) is the ramification index of \( Q \), and \( e_Q \) is the number of elements in the same fiber as \( Q \) under the morphism \( \pi_H \). Then \( \pi_H^* \circ \pi_H^* = \sum_{P \in X_H(\mathbb{Q})} n_P P \), and \( \pi_H^* \circ \pi_H^*(D''') = \deg(\pi_H) D''' \). It now follows that \( \pi_H^* \) has finite kernel and \( \pi_H^* \) is surjective. \( \square \)

The starting point for reducing Theorem 1 and 2 to a question in representation theory stems from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \sigma : \mathbb{Z}[G/H'] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism. Then \( \sigma \) induces a homomorphism of abelian varieties \( \phi : J_H \to J_{H'} \) which is defined over \( Q \).
Let Lemma 3.5. Suppose we have an almost-exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i-1}] \overset{\sigma_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \overset{\sigma_i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i+1}] \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

induces an almost-exact sequence of Jacobians

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow J_{H_{i-1}} \overset{\phi_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} J_{H_i} \overset{\phi_i}{\longrightarrow} J_{H_{i+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

Note that this immediately gives Theorem 1 since the character relation in Proposition 4 implies there exists an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}[G/G] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}[G/B] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}[G/N] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}[G/N'] \longleftarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

and hence an almost-exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
(3) \quad \begin{array}{c}
0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/B] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/N'] \longleftarrow 0.
\end{array}
$$

To prove Proposition 3.7, we first establish some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose we have an almost-exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow M_{i-1} \overset{\sigma_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} M_i \overset{\sigma_i}{\longrightarrow} M_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

For any $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module $M$, the sequence $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_i, M)$ is almost-exact. Furthermore, if we let $\Phi_i$ and $\Phi'_i$ denote the homology groups of the sequences $M_i$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_i, M)$, respectively, then $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\Phi'_i) \supset \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\Phi_i) \cdot \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\eta_{i-1})$, where $\eta_{i-1}$ is a quantity to be explained below.

**Proof.** This essentially follows from the semi-simplicity of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules. Consider the sequence induced by $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\cdot, M)$

$$
(5) \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_{i-1}, M) \overset{\phi_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_i, M) \overset{\phi_i}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_{i+1}, M)
\end{array}
$$

Since $\sigma_{i-1} \circ \sigma_i = 0$, it follows that $\phi_i \circ \phi_{i-1} = 0$. Hence, $\ker \phi_i \supset \text{im} \phi_{i-1}$.

Let $W = \text{im} \sigma_{i-1}$ and $V = M_{i-1}$. By semi-simplicity of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules, $V \otimes \mathbb{Q} = W \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus W'$ for some complementary $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-module $W'$. Put $W^\perp = W' \cap V$. Let $\eta_{i-1}$ be the quotient $\frac{V}{W^\perp}$ and $\text{pr}_W$ the projection to $W$ from $W \oplus W^\perp$.

Put $n \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\Phi_i)$, $m \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\eta_{i-1})$ and let $f \in \ker \phi_i$. We claim that $mn \cdot f \in \text{im} \phi_{i-1}$. Since $f \in \ker \phi_i$, we have that $\text{im} \sigma_i \subset \ker f$. Since $n$ kills $\Phi_i$, it follows that $\ker \sigma_{i-1} \subset \ker f \circ [n]$. Therefore, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism $g : \text{im} \sigma_{i-1} \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ [n] = g \circ \sigma_{i-1}$. One can extend $g$ to a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism $\tilde{g}$ on all of $M_{i-1}$ by defining $\tilde{g} = g \circ \text{pr}_W \circ [m]$. Moreover, we see that $\tilde{g} \circ \sigma_{i-1} = f \circ [n] \circ [m]$ so that $\phi_{i-1}(\tilde{g}) = mn \cdot f$. \qed

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}[G/H'] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H]$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism. Let $\phi' : J(C)^H \rightarrow J(C)^{H'}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism induced by $\sigma$ via the identification $J(C)^K = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/K], J(C))$. Then we have a commutative
diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
J_H(\mathbb{C}) & \overset{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & J_{H'}(\mathbb{C}) \\
\pi_H & \downarrow & \pi_{H'} \\
J(C)^H & \overset{\phi'}{\longrightarrow} & J(C)^{H'}
\end{array} \]

(6)

where \( \phi \) is the morphism induced by \( \sigma \) in Lemma 3.3.

**Proof.** It suffices to show as elements of \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(J(C)^H, J(C)^{H'}) \), we have the equality \( \phi' = \sigma(H') \). The bijection between \( J(C)^H \) and \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], J(\mathbb{C})) \) is such that \( x \mapsto (f : gH \mapsto gHx) \). The \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism which \( \sigma \) induces sends \( f \) to \( f \circ \sigma \). Finally, the bijection between \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H'], J(\mathbb{C})) \) and \( J(C)^{H'} \) is such that \( f \circ \sigma \) is sent to \( (f \circ \sigma)(H') = \sigma(H')x \).

**Lemma 3.6.** A sequence of abelian varieties over \( \mathbb{C} \)

(7) \( \ldots \longrightarrow J_{i-1} \overset{\phi_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} J_i \overset{\phi_i}{\longrightarrow} J_{i+1} \longrightarrow \ldots \)

is almost-exact if and only if the associated sequence of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules

(8) \( \ldots \longrightarrow J_{i-1}(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\phi_{i-1}'}{\longrightarrow} J_i(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\phi_i'}{\longrightarrow} J_{i+1}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \ldots \)

is almost-exact. Furthermore, if we let \( \Phi_i \) and \( \Phi_i' \) denote the corresponding homology groups, then \( \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i) = \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i') \) are non-zero.

**Proof.** Each \( \Phi_i = \ker \phi_i / \text{im} \phi_{i-1} \) is an extension of an abelian variety over \( \mathbb{C} \) by a finite abelian group.

We have that \( \Phi_i \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \) if and only if \( \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i) \neq (0) \) (this follows from the fact that \( \text{Hom}(A, B) \) for two abelian varieties \( A, B \) is finitely-generated over \( \mathbb{Z} \)). Thus, \( \Phi_i \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \) if and only if \( \Phi_i \) is finite over \( \mathbb{C} \).

If the sequence of abelian varieties is almost-exact, then \( \Phi_i \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \), so \( \Phi_i \) is finite over \( \mathbb{C} \), and hence \( \Phi_i \) is of finite cardinality.

If the sequence of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules is almost-exact, then \( \Phi_i' \) is torsion. Since \( \Phi_i \) is an extension of an abelian variety over \( \mathbb{C} \) by a finite abelian group, this can only happen if the connected component of \( \Phi \) is trivial, as a non-trivial abelian variety over \( \mathbb{C} \) possesses \( \mathbb{C} \)-points of infinite order. Thus, \( \Phi_i \) is finite over \( \mathbb{C} \), and hence \( \Phi_i \) is of finite cardinality.

Finally, when \( \Phi_i \) is finite over \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i) = \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i') \) is non-zero.

**Proposition 3.7.** Suppose the sequence of \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-modules

(9) \( \ldots \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i-1}] \overset{\sigma_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \overset{\sigma_i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i+1}] \longleftarrow \ldots \)

is almost-exact. Then the induced sequence of abelian varieties

(10) \( \ldots \longrightarrow J_{H_{i-1}} \overset{\phi_{i-1}}{\longrightarrow} J_{H_i} \overset{\phi_i}{\longrightarrow} J_{H_{i+1}} \longrightarrow \ldots \)

is almost-exact. Furthermore, if we let \( \Phi_i \) and \( \Phi_i' \) denote the homology groups of the above sequences, respectively, then

\[
\text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i') \supset \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z(\eta_{i-1}) \cdot (\deg(\pi_{H_i})) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z(\ker \pi_{H_{i+1}}) \\
\cdot \text{Ann}_Z(\ker \pi_{H_i}) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z\left( \frac{\ker \pi_{H_i}}{\ker \pi_{H_i} \cap \text{im} \phi_{i-1}} \right).
\]
Proof. The almost-exact sequence

\[ \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i-1}] \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i+1}] \longrightarrow \cdots \]

induces by Lemma 3.4 an almost-exact sequence

\[ \cdots \longrightarrow J(C)^{H_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{\phi'_{i-1}} J(C)^{H_i} \xrightarrow{\phi'_i} J(C)^{H_{i+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \]

where $\text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i') \supset \text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i) \cdot (\eta_{i-1})$, and $\Phi_i''$ denotes the homology groups of the latter sequence.

By Lemma 3.5, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
J_{H_{i-1}}(C) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1}} & J_{H_i}(C) \\
\pi_{H_{i-1}} & \downarrow & \pi_{H_i} \\
J(C)^{H_{i-1}} & \xrightarrow{\phi'_{i-1}} & J(C)^{H_i}
\end{array}
\]

From the commutative diagram, we see that $\phi_i \circ \phi_{i-1} = 0$ using the fact that $\phi'_i \circ \phi'_{i-1} = 0$, and $\pi_{H_i} \circ \pi_{H_{i-1}}$ is multiplication by $\deg(\pi_{H_{i-1}})$ when restricted to the subgroup $J(C)^{H_i} = J(H_i(C))$ (see Lemma 3.2) so the image of $\phi'_{i-1}$ is sent into itself under this map.

We next show the relation between $\text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i')$ and $\text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i'')$. To begin with, note that $\ker \pi_{H_i} \subset \ker \phi_i$ so that

\[
\frac{\pi^*_{H_i} (\ker \phi_i)}{\pi^*_{H_i} (\im \phi_{i-1})} \cong \frac{\ker \phi_i}{\im \phi_{i-1} + \ker \pi^*_{H_i}}.
\]

Hence by Lemma 3.6,

\[
\text{Ann}_Z(\Phi_i') = \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\ker \phi_i}{\im \phi_{i-1}} \right) = \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\pi^*_{H_i} (\ker \phi_i)}{\pi^*_{H_i} (\im \phi_{i-1})} \right) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\im \phi_{i-1} + \ker \pi^*_{H_i}}{\im \phi_{i-1}} \right)
\]

\[
= \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\pi^*_{H_i} (\ker \phi_i)}{\pi^*_{H_i} (\im \phi_{i-1})} \right) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\ker \pi^*_{H_i}}{\ker \pi^*_{H_i} \cap \im \phi_{i-1}} \right)
\]

By the commutative diagram, we see that

\[
\pi^*_{H_i} (\ker \phi_i) = \ker (\pi_{H_{i+1}} \circ \phi'_i)
\]

\[
\pi^*_{H_i} (\im \phi_{i-1}) = \im (\deg(\pi_{H_i}) \circ \phi'_{i-1} \circ \pi^*_{H_{i-1}}).
\]

Now, we have

\[
\text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\ker (\pi_{H_{i+1}} \circ \phi'_i)}{\ker \phi'_i} \right) \supset \text{Ann}_Z (\ker \pi_{H_{i+1}})
\]

\[
\text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\im (\deg(\pi_{H_i}) \circ \phi'_{i-1})}{\im (\deg(\pi_{H_i}) \circ \phi'_{i-1} \circ \pi^*_{H_{i-1}})} \right) \supset \text{Ann}_Z (\coker \pi_{H_{i-1}}).
\]

This yields

\[
\text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\pi^*_{H_i} (\ker \phi_i)}{\pi^*_{H_i} (\im \phi_{i-1})} \right) \supset \text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\ker \phi'_i}{\im (\deg(\pi_{H_i}) \circ \phi'_{i-1})} \right) \supset \text{Ann}_Z (\ker \pi_{H_{i+1}}) \cdot \text{Ann}_Z (\coker \pi_{H_{i-1}}).
\]

Since

\[
\text{Ann}_Z \left( \frac{\ker \phi'_i}{\im (\deg(\pi_{H_i}) \circ \phi'_{i-1})} \right) \supset \ker \phi'_i \cdot \text{Ann}_Z (\coker \pi_{H_{i-1}}).
\]
we obtain finally that
\[ \text{Ann}_\mathbb{Z}(\Phi'_i) \supset \text{Ann}_\mathbb{Z}(\Phi''_i) \cdot (\deg(\pi_H)) \cdot \text{Ann}_\mathbb{Z}(\ker \pi_{H,i+1}) \cdot \text{Ann}_\mathbb{Z}(\ker \pi_{H,i-1}) \]
\[ \cdot \text{Ann}_\mathbb{Z}(\ker \pi_{H,i} \cap \ker \Phi_{i-1}). \]

\[ \Box \]

4. Double cosets

In this section, an explicit description of the \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphisms between two induced representations \( \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \) and \( \mathbb{Z}[H/K] \) is given in terms of double cosets. This will be used to describe \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-modules homomorphisms which induce the homomorphisms \( (\phi_{GB}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{NN'}) \) via Lemma 3.2.

Let \( H, K \) be subgroups of \( G \). Suppose we have a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism \( \phi : \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \). Then \( \phi \) is determined by its value on the coset \( H \) since \( \phi(gH) = g\phi(H) \). Moreover, for all \( h \in H \) we have \( h\phi(H) = \phi(hH) = \phi(H) \) so the element \( \phi(H) \in \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) is invariant under multiplication on the left by \( h \).

Conversely, suppose we are given an element \( \alpha \) of \( \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) which is invariant under multiplication on the left by elements in \( H \). Then one can define a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism \( \phi : \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) such that \( \phi(H) = \alpha \). Indeed, define \( \phi(gH) = g\alpha \). This is well-defined on right \( H \)-cosets because \( \alpha \) is invariant by multiplication on the left by elements in \( H \). The desired map is then obtained by extending this \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linearly to all of \( \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \). By its very definition, it is a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism.

Lemma 4.1.

\[ HgK = \bigcup_{\alpha \in H/H_g} \alpha gK \]
where \( H_g = H \cap gKg^{-1} \) and the union is disjoint. We call \( [H : H_g] \) the degree of \( HgK \). This is independent of the choice of \( g \) in the sense that \( \deg(HgK) = \deg(Hg'K) \) if \( HgK = Hg'K \).

Proof. Since

\[ H = \bigcup_{\alpha \in H/H_g} \alpha H_g \]

we have

\[ HgK = \bigcup_{\alpha \in H/H_g} \alpha H_ggK \]
\[ = \bigcup_{\alpha \in H/H_g} \alpha gK. \]

If \( \alpha gK = \alpha' gK \), then \( \alpha g \in \alpha' gK \) and hence \( \alpha \in \alpha' H_g \).

There is a distinguished class of \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphisms from \( \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \) to \( \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) : for each double coset \( HgK \) where \( g \in G \), define \( \phi(HgK)(H) = HgK \), where the double coset \( HgK \) is considered as an element of \( \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) by decomposing it into right \( K \)-cosets. This yields a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module homomorphism \( \phi(HgK) : \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/K] \) as above.
Lemma 4.2. Let $H, K$ be subgroups of $G$. Then as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules
\[ \Theta : \mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / K] \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/K]). \]

Proof. Let $\Omega$ be a complete set of inequivalent representatives for $H \backslash G / K$. It is clear that $\phi$ is injective since $\Theta(\sum_{g \in \Omega} \alpha_g HgK) = 0$ means that $\sum_{g \in \Omega} \alpha_g HgK = 0$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[G/K]$. This occurs if and only if $\alpha_g = 0$ for all $g \in \Omega$.

A $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism $\Theta : \mathbb{Z}[G/H] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/K]$ is determined by its value on the coset $H$. Since $\Theta(H)$ is an element in $\mathbb{Z}[G/K]$ which is invariant under multiplication on the left by elements in $H$, we can write $\Theta(H) = \sum_{g \in \Omega} \alpha_g HgK$. We then see that $\Theta(H) = \sum_{g \in \Omega} \alpha_g \Theta(HgK)$. This shows $\Theta$ is surjective. □

We call elements of $\mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / K]$ operators and by convention denote their action from the left. This causes the slight annoyance that $HK \times KH = KH \circ HK$. We will also omit $\Theta$ when considering an operator as an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/K])$.

In the case $H = K$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H])$ is not just a $\mathbb{Z}$-module but also a ring with unit. Thus $\Theta$ gives a ring structure on $\mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / H]$. This ring structure can be described explicitly and developed purely in terms of $\mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / H]$ (c.f. [30] and below). Finally, we remark that $\mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / H]$ is also nothing other than the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, H)$ with trivial character which arises in representation theory [6], though it is used in a different context there.

More generally, the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear multiplication
\[ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/K]) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/K], \mathbb{Z}[G/M]) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/M]) \]
\[(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f\]
induces via $\Theta$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear multiplication
\[ \mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / K] \times \mathbb{Z}[K \backslash G / M] \to \mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G / M]. \]

It is easy to describe this multiplication via $\Theta$. For example, suppose
\[ HaK = \cup_{a \in \Omega} \alpha aK \]
\[ KbM = \cup_{b \in \Omega} \beta bM. \]

Then
\[ HaK \times KbM = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} \sum_{\beta \in \Omega} \alpha \beta bM = \sum_{g \in \Omega} \gamma_g HgM. \]

Here $\Omega$ is a complete set of inequivalent representatives for $H \backslash G / M$. Note that $\gamma_g$ is easily calculated to be
\[ \gamma_g = \# \{\alpha \beta b \in HgM]\) / \deg(HgM) \]
\[ = \# \{\alpha \beta b \in gM\} \]
(compare with the formula given in [30]). In our context, all groups are finite so this can be alternatively described as
\[ HaK \times KbM = \frac{\deg(KbM)}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{\deg(HaK)}{\deg(HakbM)} HakbM. \]
(9)
5. Decomposition into irreducibles

In this section, we briefly review the representation theory of \( G \) and decompose the induced representations \( \mathbb{C}[G/G], \mathbb{C}[G/B], \mathbb{C}[G/N], \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \). This material is standard and can be found in [13] for instance.

The set of conjugacy classes \( C(G) \) of \( G \) can be described by breaking it into four types

\[
C(G) = \left\{ [h] = \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{array} \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p^x \right\} \cup \\
\{ [b] = \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & 1 \\ 0 & x \end{array} \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p^x \} \cup \\
\{ [\kappa_{x,y}] = \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array} \right) \mid (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^x \times \mathbb{F}_p^x - \Delta \text{ and } (x,y) \sim (y,x) \} \cup \\
\{ [\gamma_{x,y}] = \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & \lambda y \\ y & x \end{array} \right) \mid (x,y) \neq (0,0) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p \text{ and } (x,y) \sim (x, -y) \},
\]

where we use the notation \([g]\) to denote the conjugacy class of \( g \in G \). The elements \( h_x, b_x, \kappa_{x,y}, \gamma_{x,y} \) give distinct conjugacy classes except for the identifications \( \sim \) given above.

Let \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \phi \) be one-dimensional representations of \( \mathbb{F}_p^x, \mathbb{F}_p^x, \) and \( \mathbb{F}_p^x \), respectively. There are four types of irreducible representations of \( G \). They are denoted \( U_\alpha, V_\alpha, W_{\alpha,\beta}, \) and \( X_\phi \), with the restrictions \( \alpha \neq \beta \) and \( \phi \neq \phi \). These representations are all distinct except for the isomorphisms \( W_{\alpha,\beta} \cong W_{\beta,\alpha} \) and \( X_\phi \cong X_{\phi^p} \). Their values on each conjugacy class is given in Table 1.

### Table 1. The character table of \( G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>([h]_x)</th>
<th>([b]_x)</th>
<th>([\kappa_{x,y}])</th>
<th>([\gamma_{x,y}] = [\gamma])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(U_\alpha)</td>
<td>(\alpha(x^2))</td>
<td>(\alpha(x^2))</td>
<td>(\alpha(xy))</td>
<td>(\alpha(\gamma^{p+1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_\alpha)</td>
<td>(p\alpha(x^2))</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(\alpha(xy))</td>
<td>(-\alpha(\gamma^{p+1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W_{\alpha,\beta})</td>
<td>((p+1)\alpha(x)\beta(x))</td>
<td>(\alpha(x)\beta(x))</td>
<td>(\alpha(x)\beta(y) + \alpha(y)\beta(x))</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_\phi)</td>
<td>((p-1)\phi(x))</td>
<td>(-\phi(x))</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(-\phi(\gamma) + \phi(\gamma^p))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A routine calculation using Table 1 gives the following propositions.

**Proposition 5.1.**

\( \mathbb{C}[G/G] \cong U_1 \)

**Proposition 5.2.**

\( \mathbb{C}[G/B] \cong U_1 \oplus V_1 \)

**Proposition 5.3.**

\( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \cong U_1 \oplus \sum_{p \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4), \alpha = (\bar{g})} V_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \neq 1, \alpha^2 \neq 1} W_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}} \oplus \sum_{\phi^{p+1} = 1, \phi^{p+1} \neq 1, \phi^{p-1} \neq 1} X_\phi \)

where we sum under the equivalence relation \( \alpha \sim \alpha^{-1} \) and \( \phi \sim \phi^p \).

**Proposition 5.4.**

\( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \cong U_1 \oplus \sum_{p \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4), \alpha = (\bar{g})} V_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \neq 1, \alpha^2 \neq 1} W_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}} \oplus \sum_{\phi^{p+1} = 1, \phi^{p+1} \neq 1, \phi^{p-1} \neq 1} X_\phi \oplus V_1 \)
where we sum under the equivalence relation $\alpha \sim \alpha^{-1}$ and $\phi \sim \phi^p$.

**Proof.** This follows from the previous propositions as

$\mathbb{C}[G/N'] \oplus \mathbb{C}[G/B] \cong \mathbb{C}[G/N] \oplus \mathbb{C}[G/G]$ 

by Theorem 4. □

For later reference, we note that

(10) \[ \phi^{p+1} = 1 \iff \phi|_{F^p} = 1 \]

(11) \[ \alpha^{p+1} = 1 \iff \alpha(-1) = 1. \]

### 6. Exactness at $\mathbb{C}[G/G]$ and $\mathbb{C}[G/B]$

In this section, we exhibit choices for the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphisms occurring in sequence (3) which via Lemma 3.2 induce the homomorphisms of jacobians in Theorem 2. An analysis of the almost-exactness of this sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules is performed. In particular, we reduce the property of almost-exactness to the calculation of certain eigenvalues. The first two terms in the sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules is easily shown to be exact.

Before starting, we state the degree of some operators for later reference, which can be computed using Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 6.1.**

\[ \deg(NN') = (p - 1)/2 \]
\[ \deg(N'N) = (p + 1)/2 \]

**Lemma 6.2.**

\[ \deg(NB) = 2 \]
\[ \deg(BN) = p \]

**Lemma 6.3.**

\[ \deg(NG) = 1 \]
\[ \deg(GN) = p(p + 1)/2 \]

**Lemma 6.4.**

\[ \deg(BG) = 1 \]
\[ \deg(GB) = p + 1 \]

The following lemma exhibits the natural operators coming from representation theory which induce the homomorphisms of jacobians in Theorem 2.

**Lemma 6.5.** The $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphisms

\[ (\sigma_{BG}, \sigma_{NB}, \sigma_{N'N}) = (BG, (1 - pr_N)NB, N'N) \]

induce via Lemma 3.2 the homomorphisms $(\phi_{BG}, \phi_{BN}, \phi_{N'N})$ in Theorem 2.

**Proof.** This is easily checked by going through Lemma 3.2. Indeed, we have the following verifications.

\[ \sigma_{BG} = \pi_{B*} \circ BG(B) \circ \pi_{G} \]
\[ = \pi_{B*} \circ \pi_{G} \]
\[ \sigma_{NB} = \pi_{N\star} \circ (|G| (1 - \text{pr}_G) NB)(N) \circ \pi^*_B \]
\[ = \pi_{N\star} \circ |G| (1 - \text{pr}_G)(1 + \omega) \circ \pi^*_B \]
\[ \sigma_{N\star} = \pi_{N\star} \circ N\star(N\star) \circ \pi^*_N \]
\[ = \left[ \frac{p + 1}{2} \right] \circ \pi_{N\star} \circ \pi^*_N \]

where \( N\star = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} \alpha N \) is a disjoint union, and we have used the fact that \( \text{deg}(N\star N) = \frac{p + 1}{2} \) shown in Lemma 6.1.

The above lemma together with Proposition 3.7, implies that Theorem 2 would follow if one can show that the sequence of \( \mathbb{Z}[G]\)-modules
\[ 0 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G] \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/B] \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/N'] \leftarrow 0 \]

is almost-exact. To do this, we essentially dualise the problem. The basis for this is given in next two lemmas.

**Lemma 6.6.** Suppose we have a sequence
\[ \ldots \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i-1}] \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i+1}] \leftarrow \ldots \]

together with \( \mathbb{Z}[G]\)-module homomorphisms \( \tau_i : \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_{i+1}] \), where \( \ker \sigma_{i-1} \supset \text{im} \sigma_i \). Then the above sequence is almost-exact if \( \ker \tau_{i-1} \circ \sigma_{i-1} \supset \text{im} \sigma_i \circ \tau_i \) is torsion.

**Proof.** Simply note that
\[ \ker \tau_{i-1} \circ \sigma_{i-1} \supset \ker \sigma_{i-1} \supset \text{im} \sigma_i \supset \text{im} \sigma_i \circ \tau_i. \]

Let \( V \) be \( \mathbb{C}[G]\)-module. We say \( V \) has multiplicity one if every irreducible component occurs with multiplicity at most one. If this is the case, then a \( \mathbb{C}[G]\)-module homomorphism \( \sigma : V \rightarrow V \) is given by a scalar on each irreducible component of \( V \) by Schur’s lemma. If the irreducible component has character \( \chi \), then this eigenvalue is given by
\[ \lambda_\chi(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \text{tr}(\text{pr}_\chi \circ \sigma) = \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \text{tr}(\sigma \circ \text{pr}_\chi) \]

where \( \text{pr}_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi(g)} g \) is the projector to the \( \chi \)-component.

**Lemma 6.7.** Suppose we have a sequence of \( \mathbb{Z}[G]\)-modules
\[ M \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \leftarrow M \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \ldots \]

where \( \ker \epsilon \supset \text{im} \delta \) and \( M \otimes \mathbb{C} \) has multiplicity one. Then the quotient \( \Phi = \ker \epsilon / \text{im} \delta \) is torsion if and only if \( \lambda_\chi(\epsilon \otimes \mathbb{C}) = 0 \) implies \( \lambda_\chi(\delta \otimes \mathbb{C}) \neq 0 \) for every character \( \chi \) of an irreducible component of \( M \).

**Proof.** To show the first part of the lemma, consider the sequence
\[ L \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \leftarrow L \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \ldots \]

where \( L = M \otimes \mathbb{C} \). Decompose \( L = \bigoplus L_\chi \), where \( L_\chi \) is the \( \chi \)-component of \( L \), that is the direct sum of the irreducible components of \( L \) with character \( \chi \). By the
multiplicity one assumption, \( L_\chi \) is irreducible. We use the convention that \( \chi \) runs through all irreducible characters of \( G \) so that \( L_\chi \) can be zero for some \( \chi \).

By Schur's lemma, the \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-module homomorphism \( \delta \otimes C : L \to L \) is multiplication by a scalar \( \lambda_\chi(\delta \otimes C) \) on each irreducible component \( L_\chi \). We have that

\[
\ker \epsilon \otimes C = \prod_{(\chi : C) = 1, \chi(\epsilon \otimes C) = 0} L_\chi.
\]

\[
\im \delta \otimes C = \prod_{(\chi : C) = 1, \chi(\delta \otimes C) \neq 0} L_\chi.
\]

By hypothesis, \( \ker \epsilon \otimes C \supseteq \im \delta \otimes C \). However, if \( \lambda_\chi(\epsilon \otimes C) = 0 \) implies \( \lambda_\chi(\delta \otimes C) \neq 0 \), then the above descriptions of \( \ker \epsilon \otimes C \) and \( \im \delta \otimes C \) show that they are in fact equal.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 6.8.** Suppose we have a sequence

\[
\ldots \leftarrow Z[G/H_{i-1}] \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} Z[G/H_i] \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} Z[G/H_{i+1}] \leftarrow \ldots
\]

together with \( Z[G] \)-module homomorphisms \( \tau_i : Z[G/H_i] \to Z[G/H_{i+1}] \), where \( \ker \sigma_{i-1} \supseteq \im \sigma_i \), and each \( Z[G/H_i] \) has multiplicity one. Put \( \delta_i = \sigma_i \circ \tau_i \) and \( \epsilon_i = \tau_{i-1} \circ \sigma_{i-1} \). If \( \lambda_\chi(\epsilon_i \otimes C) = 0 \) implies \( \lambda_\chi(\delta_i \otimes C) \neq 0 \) for every character \( \chi \) of an irreducible component of \( Z[G/H_i] \), then the original sequence is almost-exact at \( Z[G/H_i] \).

We wish to apply the above corollary to sequence (12) by taking the \( Z[G] \)-module homomorphisms \( (\tau_{GB}, \tau_{BN}, \tau_{NN'}) \) to be \( (GB, |G| (1 - pr_G)BN, NN') \). There are several conditions to check.

**Lemma 6.9.** We have that \( \sigma_{NN'}B \circ \sigma_{NN'} = 0 \) and \( \sigma_{BG} \circ \sigma_{NN'}B = 0 \).

\[\text{Proof.}\] Using the facts that \( NN'N \times NB = N'B \) by formula 9, and \( N'B = G \), we see that \( |G| pr_G \circ NB = |G| N'B \), which shows that

\[
\sigma_{NB} \circ \sigma_{NN'} = |G| (1 - pr_G) \circ NN'N \times NB = 0.
\]

Similarly, using the facts that \( NB \times BG = 2NG \) by formula 9, and \( NG = G \), we see that \( |G| pr_G \circ NG = |G| NG \), which shows that

\[
\sigma_{BG} \circ \sigma_{NB} = BG \circ |G| (1 - pr_G)NB = |G| (1 - pr_G) \circ NB \times BG = 0.
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 6.10.** The \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-modules \( \mathbb{C}[G/G], \mathbb{C}[G/B], \mathbb{C}[G/N], \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \) are of multiplicity one.

\[\text{Proof.}\] This lemma follows from the calculations in Section 5.

\[\square\]
To check the remaining hypotheses of Corollary 6.8, one needs to compare the eigenvalues of

\[(13) \quad \epsilon_G = 0\]

\[(14) \quad \epsilon_B = \tau_G \circ \sigma_B = GB \circ BG = BG \times GB\]

\[(15) \quad \epsilon_N = \tau_B \circ \sigma_N = |G|(1 - \text{pr}_G)BN \circ |G|(1 - \text{pr}_G)NB\]

\[(16) \quad = |G|^2(1 - \text{pr}_G)NB \times BN\]

\[(17) \quad \epsilon_{N'} = \tau_{N'N} \circ \sigma_{N'N} = NN' \circ N'N = N'N \times NN'\]

with the eigenvalues of

\[(18) \quad \delta_G = \sigma_B \circ \tau_G = BG \circ GB = GB \times GB\]

\[(19) \quad \delta_B = \sigma_N \circ \tau_B = |G|(1 - \text{pr}_G)NB \circ |G|(1 - \text{pr}_G)BN\]

\[(20) \quad = |G|^2(1 - \text{pr}_G)BN \times NB\]

\[(21) \quad \delta_N = \sigma_{N'N} \circ \tau_{N'N} = N'N \circ NN' = NN' \times NN'\]

\[(22) \quad \delta_{N'} = 0,\]

respectively.

The eigenvalue \(\lambda_\chi(|G|^2(1 - \text{pr}_G))\) is simply 0 if \(\chi\) is the trivial character and \(|G|^2\) otherwise. Hence, we are led to calculating eigenvalues of operators of the form \(HK \times KH\), where \(H, K\) are subgroups of \(G\). The following lemma gives an expression for such an eigenvalue.

**Lemma 6.11.** Let \(\chi\) be an irreducible character of \(G\) and \(H, K\) subgroups of \(G\). Then the trace of \(HK \times KH\) on the \(\chi\)-component of \(\mathbb{C}[G/H]\) is given by

\[
\text{tr}_\chi(HK \times KH) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(HK)}{|H|} \frac{\deg(KH)}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(kh)
\]

**Proof.** Note that

\[
\text{tr}_\chi(HK \times KH) = \text{tr}(\text{pr}_\chi \circ HK \times KH).
\]

Choose a set of inequivalent representatives \(g_1, \ldots, g_n\) for \(G/H\), where \(n = |G/H|\). Then \(g_1H, \ldots, g_nH\) forms a \(\mathbb{C}\)-basis for \(\mathbb{C}[G/H]\). To calculate the trace of the map \(\text{pr}_\chi \circ HK \times KH\), it suffices to compute for each \(i\), the coefficient \(\alpha_i\) of \(g_iH\) in \((\text{pr}_\chi \circ HK \times KH)(g_iH)\). The trace is then given by \(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\).

To begin with, we have

\[
\text{pr}_\chi \circ HK \times KH(g_iH) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(gg_i) \cdot \left( \frac{\deg(HK)}{|H|} \frac{\deg(KH)}{|K|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in K} g_ihkH \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \cdot \frac{\deg(HK)}{|H|} \frac{\deg(KH)}{|K|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g_ihkH,
\]

where the last equality follows from the fact that \(\text{pr}_\chi\) is a \(\mathbb{C}[G]\)-module homomorphism. The coefficient of \(g_iH\) in the above element of \(\mathbb{C}[G/H]\) is then given by

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \cdot \frac{\deg(HK)}{|H|} \frac{\deg(KH)}{|K|} \cdot |H| \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(kh).
\]
Thus,
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(HK \times KH) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(HK)}{|H|} \cdot \frac{\deg(KH)}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(kh) \]
as desired. \(\square\)

Furthermore, we have that

**Lemma 6.12.** Let \(\chi\) be an irreducible character of \(G\) and \(H, K\) subgroups of \(G\). Then
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(HK \times KH) = \text{tr}_\chi(KH \times HK). \]

**Proof.** Since the elements \(hk\) and \(kh\) are conjugate, the result follows from comparing the expressions for \(\text{tr}_\chi(HK \times KH)\) and \(\text{tr}_\chi(KH \times HK)\) in Lemma 6.11. \(\square\)

We now compute some eigenvalues.

**Lemma 6.13.** Let \(\chi\) be an irreducible character of \(G\). Then
\[ \lambda_\chi(BN \times NB) = \lambda_\chi(NB \times BN) = \begin{cases} 2p & \text{if } \chi = U_1 \\ p^2 + p - 1 & \text{if } \chi = V_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \]

**Proof.** Note that \(\text{tr}_\chi(BN \times NB) = 0\) if \(\chi\) is not the character of \(U_1\) nor \(V_1\) since these are the only two irreducibles which occur in \(\mathbb{C}[G/B]\) (see Lemma 5.2).

From Lemma 6.11, we see that
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(BN \times NB) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(BN)}{|B|} \frac{\deg(NB)}{|N|} \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{n \in B} \overline{\chi(bn)}. \]
For \(\chi = U_1\), this implies that
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(BN \times NB) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(BN)}{|B|} \frac{\deg(NB)}{|N|} |B| |N| = 2p. \]
For \(\chi = V_1\), we simplify a bit further and see that
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(BN \times NB) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(BN)}{|B|} \frac{\deg(NB)}{|N|} \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{b \in B} \left( \chi(b) + \chi(b\omega) \right) \]
\[ = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(BN)}{|B|} \frac{\deg(NB)}{|N|} |C| \sum_{b \in B} \left( \chi(b) + \chi(b\omega) \right). \]
Now, note that \(\sum_{b \in B} \overline{\chi(b)} = |G| \langle \chi, 1_B \rangle = |G|\). On the other hand,
\[ \sum_{b \in B} \chi(b\omega) = \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) c([g]) \]
where \(C(G)\) is the set of all conjugacy classes, \([g]\) is the conjugacy class of \(g \in G\), and \(c([g])\) denotes the number of elements in \(B\omega\) which lie in \([g]\). The quantity \(c([g])\) is easily calculated to be \(p - 1\) if \([g]\) is non-scalar and 0 otherwise by counting the number of elements in \(B\omega\) with fixed trace and determinant. From the values of \(\chi\) on each conjugacy class (see section 5), we see that
\[ \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) c([g]) = ((p - 1)(p - 2)/2 - (p^2 - p)/2)(p - 1) = -(p - 1)^2. \]
Thus, we have that
\[
\text{tr}_\chi(BN \times NB) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(BN)}{|B|} \frac{\deg(NB)}{|N|} |C| [(p^2 - p)(p^2 - 1) - (p - 1)^2]
\]
\[
= p \frac{2}{p(p-1)^2} \frac{p}{2} (p-1)^2 (p-1)^2 (p^2 + p - 1)
\]
\[
= p(p^2 + p - 1)
\]
and hence
\[
\lambda_\chi(BN \times NB) = p^2 + p - 1
\]
□

**Lemma 6.14.** Let $\chi$ be an irreducible character of $G$. Then
\[
\lambda_\chi(GB \times BG) = \lambda_\chi(BG \times GB) = \begin{cases} p + 1 & \text{if } \chi = U_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.
\]

**Proof.** Note that $\text{tr}_\chi(GB \times BG) = 0$ if $\chi$ is not the character of $U_1$ since $\mathbb{C}[G/G]$ is the trivial representation. (see Lemma 5.1).

For $\chi = U_1$, we see from Lemma 6.11 that
\[
\text{tr}_\chi(GB \times BG) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(GB)}{|G|} \frac{\deg(BG)}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(bg)
\]
\[
= \deg(GB) \deg(BG)
\]
\[
= p + 1.
\]
□

**Proposition 6.15.** Sequence (12) is almost-exact at $\mathbb{Z}[G/G]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[G/B]$.

**Proof.** Since $\mathbb{C}[G/G]$ is the trivial representation, we only need to check the hypotheses of Corollary 6.8 for $\chi = U_1$. Indeed, $\lambda_\chi(\epsilon_G) = 0$ and $\lambda(\delta_G) = p + 1 \neq 0$ by Lemma 6.14. Thus, by the corollary, sequence (12) is almost-exact at $\mathbb{Z}[G/G]$.

The only irreducibles occurring in $\mathbb{C}[G/B]$ are $U_1$ and $V_1$. Now, $\lambda_\chi(\epsilon_B) = 0$ only for $\chi = V_1$ by Lemma 6.14. On the other hand, $\lambda_\chi(\delta_B) = \lambda_\chi(1 - \rho_G)BN \times NB = |G|^2 (p^2 + p - 1) \neq 0$ for $\chi = V_1$ so again by the corollary, sequence (12) is almost-exact at $\mathbb{Z}[G/B]$. □

Calculating the eigenvalues for $N'N \times NN'$ or $NN' \times N'N$ is more subtle. An indication of this can be seen by attempting to calculate the character sum
\[
\sum_{n \in N} \sum_{n' \in N'} \chi(nn') = \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g])c([g])
\]
by summing over conjugacy classes as we did in Lemma 6.13. Here, $c([g])$ denotes the number of elements of the form $nn'$ which lie in $[g]$. It can be shown that $c([g])$ is essentially the number of points on an elliptic curve $E_{[g]}/\mathbb{F}_p$ which varies in a non-trivial way with $[g]$. Moreover, the Hasse bound for the number of points in $E_{[g]}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is not sufficient to verify the hypotheses of Corollary 6.8.
In this section, we will describe the double coset algebra for \( \mathbb{Z}[N\backslash G/N] \) explicitly as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module. This will be used later to get a handle on the operator \( NN' \times N'N \in \mathbb{Z}[N\backslash G/N] \).

**Proposition 7.1.**

\[
\mathbb{Z}[N\backslash G/N] = \mathbb{Z}N \oplus \bigoplus_{t \in F^1_p/\sim} \mathbb{Z}N_{\sigma_t}N
\]

where

\[
\sigma_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix},
\]

\( F^1_p = \mathbb{F}_p - \{1\} \), and where \( \sim \) denotes the equivalence relation \( t \sim t^{-1} \) if \( t \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** The entries of a matrix in \( G \) will be denoted by \( a, b, c, d \) starting in the upper right hand corner going clockwise.

Suppose \( g \in G - N \) is given so either \( ac \neq 0 \) or \( bd \neq 0 \). It will be shown that there exist \( n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( n_1gn_2 \) is one of \( \sigma_t \) where \( t \in F^1_p \).

At the expense of multiplication on the right or left by \( \omega \), we obtain a matrix such that \( ac \neq 0 \) and \( b \neq 0 \). Then multiplication on the right and left respectively by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ac^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]

for instance, yields the matrix \( \sigma_{ad(bc)^{-1}} \).

One can check \( N_{\sigma_t}N = N_{\sigma_{t'}}N \) if and only if \( t' = t = 0 \) or \( t' = t^{-1} \neq 0 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 7.2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
\deg(N) &= 1 \\
\deg(N\sigma_0N) &= 2(p - 1) \\
\deg(N\sigma_{-1}N) &= (p - 1)/2 \\
\deg(N\sigma_tN) &= (p - 1) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{F}_p - \{-1, 0, 1\}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Clearly, \( \deg(N) = 1 \). For \( g = \sigma_t \) where \( t \neq 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p \), it is easy to check that

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_tC_{\sigma_t^{-1}} \cap C &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \right\} \\
\sigma_tC_{\sigma_t^{-1}} \cap \omega C &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \right\} \quad \text{ if } t = -1 \\
&= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{ if } t \neq -1 \\
\sigma_t\omega C_{\sigma_t^{-1}} \cap C &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ -x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \right\} \quad \text{ if } t = -1 \\
&= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{ if } t \neq -1 \\
\sigma_t\omega C_{\sigma_t^{-1}} \cap \omega C &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ ty \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \right\} \quad \text{ if } t \neq 0 \\
&= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{ if } t = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence, we have
\[ |N_{\sigma_0}| = (p - 1) \]
\[ |N_{\sigma_{-1}}| = 4(p - 1) \]
\[ |N_{\sigma_t}| = 2(p - 1) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{F}_p - \{-1, 0, 1\} \]
The lemma follows by the definition of degree and the fact that \(|N| = 2(p - 1)^2\). \(\square\)

8. A relation between operators

In this section, we describe a relation between operators in \(\mathbb{Z}[N\backslash G/N]\) which allows us to obtain information about the operator \(NN' \times N'N\).

To describe this relation, it is necessary to introduce some more subgroups of \(G\). Let \(C''\) and \(N''\) be the stabilisers in \(G\) of \((1, -1)\) and \(\{1, -1\}\), respectively. Then \(N'' = C'' \cup \omega''C''\) is the normaliser in \(G\) of \(C''\), where \(\omega'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\).

The degrees of some operators associated to \(N''\) are given for later reference.

Lemma 8.1.
\[ \deg(NN'') = (p - 1)/2 \]
\[ \deg(N''N) = (p - 1)/2 \]

We now decompose in terms of the natural basis given in Lemma 7.1 various operators in \(\mathbb{Z}[N \backslash G/N]\) which are associated to the subgroups \(N', N'', B, G\).

Lemma 8.2.
\[ NN' \times N'N = \frac{p-1}{2} N + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_1 \setminus \{0\} \sim (\frac{t}{p}) = -1} N_{\sigma_t}N. \]

Proof. We have that
\[ NN' \times N'N = \frac{\deg(N'N)}{|N'|} \sum_{n' \in N'} \frac{\deg(NN')}{\deg(Nn'N)} Nn'N \]
\[ = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n' \in N'} \frac{1}{\deg(Nn'N)} Nn'N \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n' \in C''} \frac{1}{\deg(Nn'N)} Nn'N \]

where that last step follows from the fact that the involution \(\omega'\) of \(N'\) lies in \(N\).

Consider the element \(c' = \begin{pmatrix} x & \lambda y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \in C'\).

If \(xy = 0\), then \(Nc'N = N\). Otherwise, \(Nc'N = N \sigma_tN\) where \(t = \frac{x^2}{\lambda y^2} \in \mathbb{F}_p^1\) is a non-square.

It is easy to check that there are \(2(p - 1)\) elements \(c' \in C'\) such that \(xy = 0\). Given \(t \in \mathbb{F}_p^1\) a non-square, there are \(2(p - 1)\) elements \(c' \in C'\) such that \(t = x^2/\lambda y^2\). Since \(N\sigma_tN = N\sigma_{t-1}N\), for \(t \in \mathbb{F}_p^1\) a non-square, there are \(4(p - 1)\) elements \(c' \in C'\) such that \(Nc'N = N\sigma_tN\) if \(t \neq -1\) and \(2(p - 1)\) if \(t = -1\). The result follows from the calculation of degrees in Lemma 7.2. \(\square\)
Lemma 8.3.

\[ NN' \times N'' N = \frac{p-1}{2} N + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*/\sim(\frac{t}{4})=1} N\sigma_t N. \]

Proof. We have that

\[ NN' \times N'' N = \text{deg}(N'' N) \sum_{n'' \in N''} \text{deg}(NN'') \text{deg}(Nn'' N) Nn'' N \]

\[ = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n'' \in N''} \frac{1}{\text{deg}(Nn'' N)} Nn'' N \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c'' \in C''} \frac{1}{\text{deg}(Nc'' N)} Nc'' N \]

where that last step follows from the fact that the involution \( \omega'' \) of \( N'' \) lies in \( N \).

Consider the element \( c'' = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \in C'' \).

If \( xy = 0 \), then \( Nc'' N = N \). Otherwise, \( Nc'' N = N \sigma_t N \) where \( t = \frac{x^2}{y^2} \in \mathbb{F}_p^1 \) is a non-zero square.

It is easy to check that there are \( 2(p-1) \) elements \( c'' \in C'' \) such that \( xy = 0 \). Given \( t \in \mathbb{F}_p^1 \) a non-zero square, there are \( 2(p-1) \) elements \( c'' \in C'' \) such that \( t = x^2/y^2 \). Since \( N\sigma_t N = N\sigma_t \cdot N \), for \( t \in \mathbb{F}_p^1 \) a non-zero square, there are \( 4(p-1) \) elements \( c'' \in C'' \) such that \( Nc'' N = N\sigma_t N \) if \( t \neq -1 \) and \( 2(p-1) \) if \( t = -1 \). The result follows from the calculation of degrees in Lemma 7.2.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 8.4.

\[ NB \times BN = 2N + N\sigma_0 N \]

Proof. We have that

\[ NB \times BN = \frac{\text{deg}(BN)}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \frac{\text{deg}(NB)}{\text{deg}(NbN)} NbN \]

\[ = \frac{p}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} 2 \frac{\text{deg}(NbN)}{\text{deg}(NbN)} NbN. \]

Consider the element

\[ b = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}. \]

If \( z = 0 \), then \( NbN = N \). Otherwise, we have \( NbN = N\sigma_0 N \). There are \( (p-1)^2 \) elements \( b \in B \) such that \( z = 0 \) and there are \( (p-1)^3 \) elements \( b \in B \) such that \( z \neq 0 \). The result follows from the calculation of degrees in Lemma 7.2.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 8.5.

\[ NG \times GN = N + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*/\sim} N\sigma_t N. \]
Proof. We have that
\[ NG \times GN = \frac{\deg(GN)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\deg(NgN)}{\deg(NgN)} N g N \]
\[ = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\deg(NgN)} N g N \]
\[ = \frac{1}{|N|} (|N| |N| + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*/\sim} \frac{1}{\deg(N \sigma_t N)} |N \sigma_t N| N \sigma_t N) \]
\[ = N + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*/\sim} N \sigma_t N \]
where the last step follows from the fact that \( |N \sigma_t N| = \deg(N \sigma_t N) \cdot |N| \). The result follows from Lemma 7.2. \( \square \)

The above lemmas yield the following relations.

**Proposition 8.6.** We have the following relation of double coset operators
\[ (NN' \times N'N + NN'' \times N''N) + NB \times BN \]
\[ = pN + NG \times GN. \]

Proof. The result follows from the preceeding lemmas. \( \square \)

**Proposition 8.7.**
\[ N \sigma^{-1} N \times N \sigma^{-1} N = NN'' \times N''N \]

Proof. We have that
\[ N \sigma^{-1} N \times N \sigma^{-1} N = \frac{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} \frac{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} N)}{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} n \sigma^{-1} N)} N \sigma^{-1} n \sigma^{-1} N \]
\[ = \frac{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N''} \frac{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} N)}{\deg(N \sigma^{-1} n \sigma^{-1} N)} N \sigma^{-1} n \sigma^{-1} N \]
\[ = NN'' \times N''N \]
where the second last step follows from the fact that \( \sigma^{-1} N \sigma^{-1} = N'' \), and the last step follows from the fact that
\[ \deg(N \sigma^{-1} N) = \deg(N''N) \text{ and } |N| = |N''|. \]

\( \square \)

9. Eigenvalues of a double coset operator

By the previous section, to know how \( NN' \times N'N \) acts on \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \), it suffices to know how \( N \sigma^{-1} N \) acts on \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \) since we know how the other operators in the relation act. In this section, we derive a formula for the eigenvalues of \( N \sigma^{-1} N \).

**Lemma 9.1.** Let \( \chi \) be an irreducible character of \( G \). Then the trace of \( N \sigma N \) on the \( \chi \)-component of \( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \) is given by
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(N \sigma N) = \chi(1) \frac{\deg(N \sigma N)}{|N|} \sum_{g \in \sigma N} \overline{\chi(g)}. \]
Proof. Note that
\[ \text{tr}_\chi(N\sigma N) = \text{tr}(\text{pr}_\chi \circ N\sigma N). \]

Choose a set of inequivalent representatives \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \) for \( G/N \), where \( n = [G/N] \). Then \( g_1N, \ldots, g_nN \) forms a \( \mathbb{C} \)-basis for \( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \). To calculate the trace of the map \( \text{pr}_\chi \circ N\sigma N \), it suffices to compute for each \( i \), the coefficient \( \alpha_i \) of \( g_iN \) in \( (\text{pr}_\chi \circ N\sigma N)(g_iN) \). The trace is then given by \( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \).

To begin with, we have
\[
(\text{pr}_\chi \circ N\sigma N)(g_iN) = \left( \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g \right) \left( \frac{\deg(N\sigma N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} g_in\sigma N \right)
\]
\[= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \frac{\deg(N\sigma N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g_in\sigma gN \]
where the last equality follows from the fact that \( \text{pr}_\chi \) is a \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-module homomorphism. The coefficient of \( g_iN \) in the above element of \( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \) is then given by
\[
\alpha_i = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \frac{\deg(N\sigma N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{g \in G, g\sigma gN = g_iN} \chi(g)
\]
\[= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \frac{\deg(N\sigma N)}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{g \in \sigma^{-1}N} \chi(g)
\]
\[= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \frac{\deg(N\sigma N)}{|N|} |N| \sum_{g \in \sigma N} \chi(g)\]
since \( \sigma^{-1}N = \sigma N \) for the representatives \( \sigma \) we take for \( N\sigma N \).
\[\square\]

Lemma 9.2. Let \( g \in G \) be a non-scalar element with trace \( t \) and determinant \( n \). The number of elements in \( \sigma_{-1}N \) which are conjugate to \( g \) is equal to
\[c([g]) = 2(1 + \left(\frac{t^2 - 2n}{p}\right)).\]
where \([g]\) denotes the conjugacy class of \( g \).

Proof. The general element in \( \sigma_{-1}C \)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{pmatrix}
\]
has trace \( t = x - y \) and determinant \( n = -2xy \). Therefore, \( x \) satisfies \( 2x^2 - 2tx + n = 0 \). Conversely, if \( x \) satisfies \( 2x^2 - 2tx + n = 0 \), then putting \( y = x - t \) yields an element \( h \in \sigma_{-1}C \) with trace \( t \) and determinant \( n \).

The general element in \( \sigma_{-1}\omega C \)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{pmatrix}
\]
has trace \( t = x + y \) and determinant \( n = 2xy \). Therefore, \( x \) also satisfies \( 2x^2 - 2tx + n = 0 \), and conversely if \( x \) satisfies \( 2x^2 - 2tx + n = 0 \), then putting \( y = t - x \) yields an element \( h \in \sigma_{-1}C \) with trace \( t \) and determinant \( n \).

The number of solutions to \( 2x^2 - 2tx + n = 0 \) is \( 1 + \left(\frac{t^2 - 2n}{p}\right) \) so \( c(g) = 2(1 + \left(\frac{t^2 - 2n}{p}\right)).\)
\[\square\]
Proposition 9.3. Let $\chi$ be the character of $W_{\alpha, \beta}$ where $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha^{p-1} = 1$ and $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ so that $\alpha(-1) = 1$. Then

$$\text{tr}_{\chi}(N_{\sigma-1}N) = \frac{p+1}{2} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \alpha(d) \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right)$$

and hence

$$\lambda_{\chi}(N_{\sigma-1}N) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \alpha(d) \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right).$$

Proof. Note that $\overline{\chi} = \chi$. We compute

$$\sum_{g \in \sigma_{-1}N} \chi([g]) = \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g])$$

where $C(G)$ is the set of conjugacy classes in $G$. Recall the description of $C(G)$ in Section 5. We first note that there are no scalars $a_x$ in $\sigma_{-1}N$. There are precisely $2(1 + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right))$ elements in $\sigma_{-1}N$ which are conjugate to a given $[b_x]$. Finally, there are $2(1 + \left(\frac{2+\alpha}{p}\right))$ elements in $\sigma_{-1}N$ which are conjugate to a given $[\alpha_x, y]$. From the values of $\chi$ on each type of conjugacy class (see Table 1), we obtain that

$$\sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g]) = 2(p-1) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \alpha(d) \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right).$$

The result follows from the fact that $\chi(1)_{\deg(N_{\sigma_{-1}N})} = \frac{p+1}{4(p-1)}$. \(\square\)

Proposition 9.4. Let $\chi$ be the character of $X_\phi$ where $\phi^{p+1} = 1$ (so that $\phi_{|\mathbb{F}_p} = 1$), $\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \neq 1$, and $\phi^{p-1} \neq 1$. Then

$$\text{tr}_{\chi}(N_{\sigma-1}N) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \phi(\gamma) \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}{p} \right)$$

and hence

$$\lambda_{\chi}(N_{\sigma-1}N) = -\frac{1}{2(p-1)} \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \phi(\gamma) \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}{p} \right).$$

Proof. Note that $\overline{\chi} = \chi$. We compute

$$\sum_{g \in \sigma_{-1}N} \chi([g]) = \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g])$$

where $C(G)$ is the set of conjugacy classes in $G$. We first note that there are no scalars $a_x$ in $\sigma_{-1}N$. There are precisely $2(1 + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right))$ elements in $\sigma_{-1}N$ which are conjugate to a given $[b_x]$. Finally, there are $2(1 + \left(\frac{2+\alpha}{p}\right))$ elements in $\sigma_{-1}N$ which are conjugate to a given $[\alpha_x, y]$. From the values of $\chi$ on each type of conjugacy class, we obtain that

$$\sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g]) = -2 \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \phi(\gamma) \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}{p} \right)$$

The result follows from the fact that $\chi(1)_{\deg(N_{\sigma_{-1}N})} = \frac{1}{4}$. \(\square\)
Proposition 9.5. Suppose that \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Let \( \chi \) be the character of \( V_\alpha \) where \( \alpha = \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) \). Then

\[
\text{tr}_\chi(N\sigma_{-1}N) = \frac{p}{4} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \alpha(d) \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right) - \frac{p}{4(p-1)} \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \alpha(\gamma^{p+1}) \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \pi^2}{p} \right)
\]

and hence

\[
\lambda_\chi(N\sigma_{-1}N) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \alpha(d) \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right) - \frac{1}{4(p-1)} \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \alpha(\gamma^{p+1}) \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \pi^2}{p} \right)
\]

Proof. Note that \( \overline{\chi} = \chi \). We compute

\[
\sum_{g \in \sigma_{-1}N} \chi(g) = \sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g])
\]

where \( C(G) \) is the set of conjugacy classes in \( G \). Recall the description of \( C(G) \) in Section 5. We first note that there are no scalars \( a_x \) in \( \sigma_{-1}N \). There are \( 2(1 + \left( \frac{x+y}{p} \right)) \) elements in \( \sigma_{-1}N \) which are conjugate to a given \( [\kappa_{x,y}] \). Finally, there are \( 2(1 + \left( \frac{y^2}{p} \right)) \) elements in \( \sigma_{-1}N \) which are conjugate to a given \( [\gamma_{x,y}] \).

From the values of \( \chi \) on each type of conjugacy class (see Table 1), we obtain that

\[
\sum_{[g] \in C(G)} \chi([g]) \cdot c([g])
\]

where \( \sim \) denotes interchange on \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^* - \Delta \), and conjugation on \( C' \cong \mathbb{F}_p^* \). We compute each sum separately.

\[
\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^* - \Delta} \alpha(xy) \cdot 2(1 + \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{p} \right)) = -(p-1) \cdot (1 + \left( \frac{2}{p} \right))
\]

\[
+ (p-1) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \alpha(d) \cdot \left( \frac{1 + d^2}{p} \right).
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma \in C' - \mathbb{F}_p^*} \alpha(\gamma^{p+1}) \cdot 2(1 + \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \pi^2}{p} \right)) = -(p-1) \cdot (1 + \left( \frac{2}{p} \right))
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\gamma \in C'} \alpha(\gamma^{p+1}) \cdot \left( \frac{\gamma^2 + \pi^2}{p} \right).
\]

The result follows from the fact that \( \chi(1)^{\frac{\deg(N\sigma_{-1}N)}{|N|}} = \frac{p}{4(p-1)} \).

Remark 9.6. The character sum in Proposition 9.3 is an instance of a Legendre character sum [28]. The character sum in Proposition 9.4 is an instance of a Soto-Andrade sum [19] [31].
10. Non-vanishing of $\lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N)$

We show the non-vanishing of the eigenvalues $\lambda_\chi( NN' \times N'N)$ for $\chi$ occurring in $\mathbb{C}[G/N']$ which will be used in the next section to conclude that sequence (12) is almost-exact.

Let $\chi$ be the character of an irreducible component of $\mathbb{C}[G/N']$ which is not trivial. By the relation in Proposition 9.3, we see that

$$NN' \times N'N = pN - NN'' \times N''N - NB \times BN + NG \times GN.$$ 

Now, the eigenvalues of $NB \times BN$ and $NG \times GN$ acting on the $\chi$-component of $\mathbb{C}[G/N']$ must be zero because these $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module homomorphisms factor through $\mathbb{C}[G/B]$ and $\mathbb{C}[G/G]$ which do not contain the irreducible representation $\chi$. Hence,

$$\lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N) = p - \lambda_\chi( NN'' \times N''N).$$

On the other hand, by the relation in Proposition 8.7, we see that

$$\lambda_\chi( NN'' \times N''N) = \lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N)^2.$$ 

Thus, to show that $\lambda_\chi( NN' \times N'N) \neq 0$ is equivalent to showing that $\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \neq \pm \sqrt{p}$.

**Proposition 10.1.** Let $\chi$ be the character of $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,\beta}$ where $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\alpha^2 \neq 1$. Then

$$\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \neq \pm \sqrt{p}.$$ 

**Proof.** From Proposition 9.3, we see that $\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$ where $\zeta_{p-1}$ is a primitive $(p-1)$-th root of unity. But this field does not contain $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ as $p$ does not ramify in it.

**Proposition 10.2.** Let $\chi$ be the character of $\mathbf{X}_\phi$ where $\phi^p + 1 = 1$ (so that $\phi \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$), $\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \neq 1$, and $\phi^{p-1} \neq 1$. Then

$$\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \neq \pm \sqrt{p}.$$ 

**Proof.** From Proposition 9.4, we see that $\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p+1})$ where $\zeta_{p+1}$ is a primitive $(p+1)$-th root of unity. But this field does not contain $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ as $p$ does not ramify in it.

**Proposition 10.3.** Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $\chi$ be the character of $\mathbf{V}_\alpha$ where $\alpha \equiv (\frac{-1}{p})$. Then

$$\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \neq \pm \sqrt{p}.$$ 

**Proof.** From Proposition 9.5, we see that $\lambda_\chi( N\sigma_{-1}N) \in \mathbb{Q}$ which does not contain $\pm \sqrt{p}$.

11. Exactness at $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$ and $\mathbb{C}[G/N']$

In this section, we show almost-exactness at $\mathbb{Z}/G/N$ and $\mathbb{Z}/G/N'$ in sequence (12) using Corollary 6.8 and non-vanishing results of the previous section.

**Proposition 11.1.** Let $\chi$ be the character of the trivial representation $U_1$. Then

$$\lambda_\chi( NN' \times N'N) = \text{tr}_\chi( NN' \times N'N) = \frac{p^2 - 1}{4}.$$
Proof. We could use Lemma 6.11 directly, but let’s amuse ourselves and compute it using Lemmas 8.2 and 9.1.

\[ \lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N) = \text{tr}_\chi(NN' \times N'N) \]

\[ = \frac{p - 1}{2} \text{tr}_\chi(N) + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_p / \sim (\frac{1}{2})} \text{tr}_\chi(N\sigma_t N) \]

\[ = \frac{\deg(N)}{|N|} \cdot |N| + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_p / \sim (\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\deg(N\sigma_t N)}{|N|} \cdot |N| \]

\[ = \frac{p^2 - 1}{4} \]

where the last step follows from the fact that the sum is \( \frac{p - 1}{2} \cdot (p - 1) = \frac{(p - 1)^2}{4} \) if \( (\frac{1}{p}) = -1 \) and \( \frac{p - 1}{2} \cdot (p - 1) + \frac{p - 1}{2} = \frac{(p - 1)^2}{4} \) if \( (\frac{1}{p}) = 1. \) \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \chi \) be the character of \( W_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, X_\phi, \) or \( V_{\varphi} \) if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) as in Propositions 9.3, 9.4, and 9.5. Then \( \lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N) \neq 0. \)

**Proof.** By Propositions 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, \( \lambda_\chi(N\sigma_{-1} N) \neq \pm \sqrt{p}. \) The result then follows from the relations in Propositions 8.6 and 8.7. \( \square \)

**Corollary 11.2.** Sequence (12) is almost-exact at \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \) and \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N']. \)

**Proof.** By Theorem 5 and Proposition 11.1, \( \lambda_\chi(e_N) = \lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N) = \lambda_\chi(N'N \times N'N) \) is non-zero for each irreducible component of \( \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \). Thus, by Corollary 6.8, sequence (12) is almost-exact at \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N'] \).

By Lemma 6.13, \( \lambda_\chi(e_N) = \lambda_\chi([G]^2 (1 - pt_G)NB \times BN) = 0 \) for all irreducible components of \( \mathbb{C}[G/N] \) except \( V_1 \). However, by Lemma 5.4, these are precisely the irreducible components occurring in \( \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \). Furthermore, by Theorem 5 and Proposition 11.1, \( \lambda_\chi(\delta_N) = \lambda_\chi(NN' \times N'N) \neq 0 \) for such \( \chi. \) Applying Corollary 6.8 again shows that sequence (12) is almost-exact at \( \mathbb{Z}[G/N] \). \( \square \)

Combining Corollary 11.2 and Proposition 6.15, and then applying Proposition 3.7, we obtain Theorem 2.

### 12. Some numerical data

We have computed for primes \( p \leq 23 \), the determinant of the map \( N'N \times NN' \) on \( \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \) and on its components \( U = U_1, W = \oplus_\chi W_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, X = \oplus_\phi X_\phi, \) and \( V = V_{\varphi} \) if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), using the expressions in terms of character sums obtained in previous sections.

The computation of the determinant on \( \mathbb{C}[G/N'] \) was also done independently by computing the matrix of \( N'N \times NN' \) and then calculating its determinant. This value coincides with the one obtained by evaluating character sums.

### 13. Conclusions

There are several questions still remaining. First of all, it would be interesting to calculate in detail the homology of sequence (12) and ultimately the one in Theorem 1. A related but perhaps different question concerns describing a minimal
value for the degree of the isogenies which may occur in Theorem 1 in some suitable sense.

We have established Merel’s conjecture for a specific pair \((N’, N)\) which satisfies \(#N’ \cap N = 4(p - 1)\). In an updated version [9] of [11], it is remarked that the validity of this conjecture seems to depend on the relative position of the points defining \(N’, N\).

It would be interesting to explain the relations of double coset operators in Propositions 8.6 and 8.7, in particular why the normaliser of a split Cartan subgroup \(N’\) which is conjugate to the standard one arises.

One might consider how Merel’s conjecture generalises to other relations of jacobians. For instance, there is a variant of the relation in Theorem 1 which was also proved in [4] [5], and [11].

**Theorem 6** (Chen, Edixhoven).

\[ J_G \times J_B^2 \text{ is isogenous over } \mathbb{Q} \text{ to } J_G \times J_B^2 \]

One might ask whether the injectivity of \(\sigma_{N’} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) can be established without using extensively the representation theory of \(G\) as done in this paper. After recent discussions with D. Zagier, it was pointed out to me that for this question at least, one can proceed differently (and somewhat more economically) by restricting to the subgroup \(B\). In particular, if we consider the set \(G/N\) as unordered pairs of distinct points on \(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)\), and let \(p_W\) be the natural projection to the subspace \(W\) spanned by pairs not containing the point \(\infty\), then the map \(\sigma = p_W \circ \sigma_{N’} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}[B]\)-module homomorphism. Using the action of \(B \cong \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times\), it is then possible to show that the determinant of \(\sigma\) is non-zero modulo \(p\) (though one only obtains a formula modulo \(p\) for this determinant). It would interesting to relate more closely the two proofs. For instance, the determinant of \(\tau_{N’} \circ \sigma_{N, N'}\), as was computationally verified for \(p \leq 67\).
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