A PV Dynamics for Rotating Shallow Water on the Sphere

- \triangleright search for a theory of balanced flow on the full sphere
- ▷ potential vorticity inversion & advection
- \triangleright ray theory for short-scale waves



- David J Muraki, Kevin Mitchell & Andrea Blazenko; Simon Fraser University
- ▷ Chris Snyder; NCAR



Midlatitude Balanced Dynamics _

Rotating Shallow Water (rSW) $\rightarrow \beta$ -plane scaling

- $\,\triangleright\,\,$ winds, $\vec{u}\,$ & height field, $H=1+\epsilon\,\eta$
- $\triangleright \quad \text{longitude-latitude} \ \underline{\text{local}} \ \text{coordinates,} \ (\lambda,\phi) \approx (\lambda_0,\phi_0)$

$$\epsilon^{2} \frac{D\vec{u}}{Dt} + (1 + \beta(\phi - \phi_{0}))(\hat{r}_{0} \times \vec{u}) \sim -\epsilon \nabla \eta$$

$$\epsilon \frac{D\eta}{Dt} + (1 + \epsilon \eta) (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) = 0$$

 \triangleright dimensionless parameters: Rossby number & Coriolis- β

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{gH_0}}{(2\Omega\sin\phi_0) r} \ll 1 \quad ; \quad \beta = \cot\phi_0$$

Midlatitude Quasigeostrophy (QG)

- \triangleright balanced dynamics: NO fast waves, PV dynamics, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ asymptotic limit
- $\triangleright \quad \text{restrict to short deformation scales: } \lambda-\lambda_0 = {\color{black}\epsilon x} \hspace{0.1 in}; \hspace{0.1 in} \phi-\phi_0 = {\color{black}\epsilon y}$
 - $\triangleright \ \ {\rm geostrophy:} \ \hat{r}_0 \times \vec{u} \sim \epsilon \, \nabla \eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad {\rm non-divergent \ winds}$
 - \triangleright limit as $\epsilon \to 0$, geostrophic degeneracy
 - ▷ advection & inversion of potential vorticity (PV)
- \triangleright geometric obstacle: local Rossby number singular at Equator, $\epsilon \to \infty$

rSW on the Full Sphere ____

Spherical Coordinates

 \triangleright longitude-latitude global coordinates, (λ, ϕ)

$$\epsilon^{2} \left\{ \frac{Du}{Dt} + v\hat{\lambda} \cdot \frac{D\hat{\phi}}{Dt} \right\} - v \sin\phi = -\epsilon \frac{1}{\cos\phi} \eta_{\lambda}$$
$$\epsilon^{2} \left\{ \frac{Dv}{Dt} + u\hat{\phi} \cdot \frac{D\hat{\lambda}}{Dt} \right\} + u \sin\phi = -\epsilon \eta_{\phi}$$
$$\epsilon \frac{D\eta}{Dt} + \{1 + \epsilon\eta\} \frac{u_{\lambda} + (v\cos\phi)_{\phi}}{\cos\phi} = 0$$

▷ large Lamb parameter asymptotics

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{4\Omega^2 r^2}{gH_0}\right)^{-1/2} \ll 1$$

Balanced Dynamics

- ▷ *PV Inversion on a Hemisphere*, McIntyre/Norton 1999
 - ▷ landmark for PV as prognostic variable
 - ▷ non-divergent winds (at leading-order)
 - ▷ dynamical oddity: mirror symmetry across Equator
- \triangleright on midlatitude scales, naïve geostrophic limit with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is now inconsistent!

A Case for Global Balanced Dynamics -

Grose & Hoskins (1979)

- \triangleright rSW flow past mountain at $30^\circ {\rm N}$
 - $\triangleright\;$ steady, super-rotation zonal wind
- steady waves by Rayleigh-damped perturbations
 - \triangleright perturbation vorticity after 17 days (with damping) & $\epsilon \approx 0.33$



- ▷ waves propagate smoothly across Equator
- ▷ nearly non-divergent flow

A Case for Global Balanced Dynamics.

Grose & Hoskins (1979)

- \triangleright rSW flow past mountain at 30° N
 - ▷ steady, super-rotation zonal wind
- steady waves by Rayleigh-damped perturbations
 - \triangleright perturbation height after 17 days (with damping) & $\epsilon \approx 0.33$



essentially zero height disturbance at Equator

ho non-divergent balance relations, with streamfunction ψ

$$u = -\epsilon \psi_{\phi}$$
 ; $v = \epsilon \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \psi_{\lambda}$; $\eta = \psi \sin \phi$



Geostrophic Degeneracy Restored

- \triangleright on midlatitude scales, limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ is consistently degenerate
- ▷ non-divergent balance relations
- \triangleright β -effect displaced: meridional advection of planetary PV

Potential Vorticity

▷ total PV is advected quantity: DQ/Dt = 0

$$Q = \sin \phi + \epsilon q = \frac{\sin \phi + \epsilon^2 \hat{r} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{u})}{1 + \epsilon \eta}$$

PV Dynamics on the Sphere (sPV) ____

Inversion, Streamfunction & Advection

 \triangleright PV-streamfunction relation with topography, $b(\lambda, \phi)$:

$$\epsilon^2 \nabla^2 \psi - (\sin^2 \phi) \psi = q - b \sin \phi$$

 \triangleright balance relations for non-divergent winds:

$$u = -\epsilon \psi_{\phi}$$
; $v = \epsilon \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \psi_{\lambda}$; $\eta = \psi \sin \phi$

▷ disturbance PV advection:

$$\epsilon \left\{ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{\cos \phi} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \lambda} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial \phi} \right\} + v \cos \phi = 0$$

Mountain Waves, Disturbance PV (t = 4)



PV Dynamics on the Sphere (sPV) ____

Inversion, Streamfunction & Advection

 $\triangleright \quad \text{PV-streamfunction relation with topography, } b(\lambda, \phi) \& \underline{\text{large-scale jet}}, \ \bar{u}(\phi), \epsilon \bar{\eta}(\phi) = O(1):$

$$\epsilon^2 \left(1 + \epsilon \bar{\eta}\right) \nabla^2 \psi - (\sin^2 \phi) \psi = \left(1 + \epsilon \bar{\eta}\right)^2 q - b \sin \phi$$

▷ balance relations for non-divergent winds:

$$u = -\epsilon \psi_{\phi}$$
 ; $v = \epsilon \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \psi_{\lambda}$; $\eta = \psi \sin \phi$

▷ disturbance PV advection:

$$\epsilon \left\{ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\bar{u} + u}{\cos \phi} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \lambda} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial \phi} \right\} + v \left(\frac{\sin \phi}{1 + \epsilon \bar{\eta}} \right)_{\phi} = 0$$

Mountain Waves, Disturbance PV (t = 4)



sPV on the Sphere: Computations -

Mountain Waves, Disturbance Height (t = 8)



Mountain Waves, Disturbance PV (t = 8)



sPV on the Sphere: Comparison with rSW_

Disturbance Height: sPV (colour) & rSW (contour)



Disturbance PV: $\epsilon \approx 0.337$





Midlatitude Synoptic-Scale Truncation

- ▷ leading-order balance assumptions
 - \triangleright on deformation scales $\Rightarrow \partial/\partial\lambda, \partial/\partial\phi = O(1/\epsilon)$
 - \triangleright at midlatitudes $\Rightarrow \sin \phi \neq 0$
- ▷ there is NO expectation of asymptotic validity at the Equator
 - \triangleright sPV is not *globally* accurate, but is well-posed
 - ▷ PV inversion & velocity-streamfunction relationship are non-singular

Q: So, Why are G&H Equatorial Crossings Faithfully Represented?

sPV Rossby Waves.



Rotating Waves, $\psi(\lambda-ct,\phi)$

- ▷ ODE eigenfunction [Verkley (2007), Schubert (2008)] → exact nonlinear sPV solutions ($\epsilon \approx 0.337$) $\epsilon^2 \nabla^2 \psi - (\sin^2 \phi) \psi + (1/c) \psi = 0$; $\psi(\pm \pi/2) = 0$
- ▷ compare to modes for rSW on sphere (Margules, Hough, Longuet-Higgins, . . .)
- \triangleright all sPV modes are slow, but longest planetary waves have O(1) wavespeed errors



Rotating Waves, $\psi(\lambda - ct, \phi)$

- ▷ compare to modes for rSW on sphere (Margules, Hough, Longuet-Higgins, . . .)
- \triangleright only longest planetary waves have O(1) wavespeed errors

Wavepacket Limit of Short-Scale Waves: $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{gh} \& \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{gh}$





Wavepacket Limit of Short-Scale Waves: $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{gh} \& \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{gh}$



Wavepacket Limit of Short-Scale Waves: $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{gh} \& \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{gh}$





Ray Theory _____

Geometrical Optics Limit as $\epsilon \to 0$

- \triangleright (flow) = (steady, zonal $\overline{u}(\phi)$) + (wave amplitude) $e^{iS(\lambda,\phi,t)/\epsilon}$
- \triangleright phase $S(\lambda, \phi, t)$ satisfies ϵ -independent Hamilton-Jacobi PDE

$$\left(S_t + \bar{u}\frac{S_\lambda}{\cos\phi}\right)\left(\frac{S_\lambda^2}{\cos^2\phi} + S_\phi^2 + \frac{\sin^2\phi}{1+\epsilon\,\bar{\eta}}\right) - \frac{S_\lambda}{\cos\phi}\left(\frac{\sin\phi}{1+\epsilon\,\bar{\eta}}\right)_\phi(1+\epsilon\,\bar{\eta}) = 0$$

▷ midlatitude replacements . . .

$$S_t \to -\omega \; ; \; \frac{S_\lambda}{\cos\phi} \to k \; ; \; S_\phi \to l \; ; \; \sin\phi \to \tilde{f} \; ; \; \cos\phi \to \tilde{\beta} \; ; \; \epsilon \, \bar{\eta} \to 0$$

▷ ... give midlatitude Rossby wave dispersion (Hoskins & Karoly, 1981)

$$\omega = \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} \, k - \frac{\tilde{\beta}k}{k^2 + l^2 + \tilde{f}^2}$$

Q: So, Why are G&H Equatorial Crossings Faithfully Represented?

Ray Theory _



A: Both sPV & slow modes of rSW have the same global ray theory!

▷ sPV dynamics & steady group rays with phasefronts

20-24 April 2009 _

NCEP Operational Analysis

- ▷ 250mB wind vectors (5-day average) thanks to Mel Shapiro, NCAR
- ▷ Rossby wave flow in Equatorial Pacific upper troposphere



20-24 April 2009 _

NCEP Operational Analysis

- ▷ 250mB meridional wind anomaly (5-day average)
- ▷ Rossby wave flow in Equatorial Pacific upper troposphere



20-24 April 2009 _

NCEP Operational Analysis

- ▷ 250mB geopotential height anomaly (5-day average)
- ▷ Rossby wave flow in Equatorial Pacific upper troposphere



In Closing.

sPV as a Balanced Dynamics for the rSW Sphere

- ▷ slow modes only
 - ▷ no gravity waves . . . but, kelvin waves . . . (next week ???)
- \triangleright nonlinear advection of disturbance PV with streamfunction inversion
 - $\triangleright~$ finite $\pmb{\epsilon}\text{-theory,}$ but is locally QG in midlatitudes
- ▷ small Rossby number limit
 - \triangleright identical (linear) ray theory with (slow modes of) rSW
- ▷ recreates Grose & Hoskins (1979) equatorial wave crossing (includes large-scale jet)
- ▷ recreates Warn (1976) linearized, 2-layer baroclinic instability





2-Layer sPV Dynamics + an Unstable Jet [A. Blazenko, MSc] _____

PV-Streamfunction: 2 coupled elliptic equations, $q_1, q_2 \longrightarrow \psi_1$, ψ_2 (k = 1, 2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^2 \gamma_1 \nabla^2 & - & \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right) \sin^2 \phi \end{bmatrix} \psi_1 + & \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right) \sin^2 \phi \psi_2 = \gamma_1^2 q_1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^2 \gamma_2 \nabla^2 & - & \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right) \sin^2 \phi \end{bmatrix} \psi_2 + & \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\right) \sin^2 \phi \psi_1 = \gamma_2^2 q_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

▷ fractional depths

$$\gamma_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) + \epsilon \left(\bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\eta}_2\right) \quad ; \quad \gamma_2 = \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\right) + \epsilon \,\bar{\eta}_2$$

sPV Balance Relations: $\psi_k \longrightarrow u_k, v_k, \eta_k$

$$u = -\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \phi} \quad ; \quad v = \frac{\epsilon}{\cos \phi} \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \lambda} \quad ; \quad \eta = \psi_k \sin \phi.$$

Disturbance PV Advection: time evolution

$$\frac{DQ_k}{Dt} = \epsilon \left\{ \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\bar{u}_k + u_k}{\cos \phi} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial \lambda} + v \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial \phi} \right\} + v_k \left(\frac{\sin \phi}{\gamma_k} \right)_{\phi} = 0$$

2-Layer rSW Baroclinic Instability _

Linearized rSW, Warn (1976)

• identify unstable eigenvalues; expect sPV results to compare closely for small ϵ

Jet Profile

- mean jet in upper layer
 - $\bar{u}_1 = \frac{1}{8}\sin^2\phi\cos^3\phi$
- max jet speed \approx 22 m/s at 40°
- no flow in lower layer

$$\bar{u}_2 = 0$$

Parameter values

- $\epsilon = \sqrt{2/13} \approx 0.4$
- $\alpha \equiv H_1/H_2 = 1$
- $\delta \equiv \rho_1/\rho_2 = 0.9$



2-Layer rSW Baroclinic Instability _

Linearized rSW, Warn (1976)

• identify unstable eigenvalues; expect sPV results to compare closely for small ϵ

Jet Profile

• disturbance height in upper layer

$$\epsilon \,\bar{\eta}_1 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{6} \sin^6 \phi - \frac{1}{4} \sin^4 \phi + \frac{11}{420} \right)$$
$$\epsilon \,\bar{\eta}_2 = -\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \,\epsilon \,\bar{\eta}_1$$

• mean depth: $1 + (\epsilon \bar{\eta}_1 - \epsilon \bar{\eta}_2)$

Parameter values

- $\epsilon = \sqrt{2/13} \approx 0.4$
- $\alpha \equiv H_1/H_2 = 1$
- $\delta \equiv \rho_1/\rho_2 = 0.9$



Unstable Growth Rates: ($\epsilon \approx 0.4$) —

	Maximum Growth Rates (day ⁻¹) m						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
sPV	0.014404	0.029759	0.049603	0.084604	0.20412	0.21476	0.074311
rSW	0.0173	0.0352	0.0527	0.1135	0.2054	0.2373	0.1511*

• most unstable mode: wavenumber m=6

• short wave cutoff [Charney (1947), Eady (1949)]





Most Unstable Mode (m = 6)



Total PV & Streamfunction/Height





Total PV & Streamfunction/Height





28

Nonlinear Evolution: $m=6\ \&\ t=11.35\ {\rm days}$.

Total PV & Streamfunction/Height

Q₁ at t = 11.3487 days





In Closing _

PV Dynamics for the rSW Sphere

- ▷ local asymptotic validity in midlatitudes
- ▷ accurate for global Rossby waves at scales smaller than planetary
- ▷ recreates Grose & Hoskins (1979) equatorial wave crossing
- \triangleright shares identical (linear) ray theory with rSW
 - ▷ rSW ray theory is degenerate theory, but globally valid
- ▷ sPV includes nonlinear PV advection





$ζ_1(0,q)$ & η₁(0,q) at t = 19.642 days