

# Monochromatic Homothetic Copies of $\{1, 1 + s, 1 + s + t\}$

Tom C. Brown, Bruce M. Landman and Marni Mishna\*

**Citation data:** T.C. Brown, Bruce M. Landman, and Marni Mishna, *Monochromatic homothetic copies of  $\{s, 1 + s, 1 + s + t\}$* , *Canad. Math. Bull.* **40** (1997), 149–157.

## Abstract

For positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ , let  $f(s, t)$  denote the smallest positive integer  $N$  such that every 2-coloring of  $[1, N] = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  has a monochromatic homothetic copy of  $\{1, 1 + s, 1 + s + t\}$ .

We show that  $f(s, t) = 4(s + t) + 1$  whenever  $s/g$  and  $t/g$  are not congruent to 0 (modulo 4), where  $g = \gcd(s, t)$ . This can be viewed as a generalization of part of van der Waerden’s theorem on arithmetic progressions, since the 3-term arithmetic progressions are the homothetic copies of  $\{1, 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1\}$ . We also show that  $f(s, t) = 4(s + t) + 1$  in many other cases (for example, whenever  $s > 2t > 2$  and  $t$  does not divide  $s$ ), and that  $f(s, t) \leq 4(s + t) + 1$  for all  $s, t$ .

Thus the set of homothetic copies of  $\{1, 1 + s, 1 + s + t\}$  is a set of triples with a particularly simple Ramsey function (at least for the case of two colours), and one wonders what other “natural” sets of triples, quadruples, etc., have simple (or easily estimated) Ramsey functions.

## 1 Introduction

Van der Waerden’s Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions [5] states that for every positive integer  $k$  there exists a smallest positive integer  $w(k)$  such that for every 2-coloring of  $[1, w(k)] = \{1, 2, \dots, w(k)\}$ , there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term arithmetic progression. (In other words, if  $[1, w(k)]$  is partitioned in any way into two parts  $A$  and  $B$ , then either  $A$  or  $B$  must contain a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.) The only known non-trivial values of  $w(k)$  are  $w(3) = 9$ ,  $w(4) = 35$ ,  $w(5) = 178$ . Furthermore the estimation of the function  $w(k)$  for large  $k$  is one of the most outstanding (and presumably one of the most difficult) problems in Ramsey theory. For a discussion of this, see [2].

The function  $w(k)$  is often called the *Ramsey function* for the set of  $k$ -term arithmetic progressions. Landman and Greenwell [3, 4] considered the Ramsey function  $g(n)$  of the set of all  $n$ -term sequences that are homothetic copies (see the definition below) of  $\{1, 2, 2 + t, 2 + t + t^2, \dots, 2 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-2}\}$  for some positive integer  $t$ . They obtained a lower bound for  $g(n)$  and an upper bound for  $g^{(r)}(3)$ , where the  $(r)$  indicates that  $r$  colours are used. Other “substitutes” for the set of  $k$ -term arithmetic progressions were introduced in [1].

In contrast, in this paper we consider the Ramsey function associated with a much smaller set of sequences, namely the set of homothetic copies of  $\{1, 1 + s, 1 + s + t\}$  for given positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ .

---

\*The first and third authors were partially supported by NSERC.

A *homothetic copy* of  $\{1, 1+s, 1+s+t\}$  is any set of the form  $\{x, x+ys, x+ys+yt\}$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers. From now on, let us agree to use the term “ $(s, t)$ -progression” to refer to a homothetic copy of  $\{1, 1+s, 1+s+t\}$ .

Instead of considering 3-term arithmetic progressions, as in the case  $k = 3$  of van der Waerden’s theorem, we consider the set of  $(s, t)$ -progressions for given positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ . (Note that the  $(1, 1)$ -progressions are the 3-term arithmetic progressions.)

For positive integers  $s$  and  $t$  we define  $f(s, t)$  to be the smallest positive integer  $N$  such that every 2-colouring of  $[1, N]$  has a monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression. Note that  $f(s, t) = f(t, s)$ . We will use this fact several times.

We show that for all positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ , if  $s/g \not\equiv 0$  and  $t/g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , where  $g = \gcd(s, t)$ , then  $f(s, t) = 4(s+t) + 1$ . A special case of this is  $w(3) = f(1, 1) = 9$ . Thus this result can be viewed as a generalization of the case  $k = 3$  of van der Waerden’s theorem.

We also show that  $f(s, t) \leq 4(s+t) + 1$  for all  $s$  and  $t$ , and we show that even if  $s/g \equiv 0$  or  $t/g \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the equality  $f(s, t) = 4(s+t) + 1$  still holds, except for a small number of possible exceptions. For example, we are unable to find the exact value of  $f(4m, 1)$ , although we show in Theorem 4 that  $4(4m+1) \leq f(4m, 1) \leq 4(4m+1) + 1$ . The remaining cases where  $f(s, t)$  is unknown are described in Section 4.

## 2 Upper bounds

First we give a simple proof of the weak bound  $f(s, t) \leq 9s + 8t$ , which is subsequently refined (in Theorem 2 below) to give the stronger bound  $f(s, t) \leq 4(s+t) + 1$ . The equality  $w(3) = 9$  will be used in our proof of this weak bound, but will not be used again.

We prove  $f(s, t) \leq 9s + 8t$  by contradiction. Assume that  $f(s, t) > 9s + 8t$ , and let  $[1, 9s + 8t]$  be 2-coloured, using the colours Red and Blue, in such a way that there is no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression. Since  $w(3) = 9$ , the set  $\{s, 2s, 3s, \dots, 9s\}$  contains a monochromatic (say in the colour Red) 3-term arithmetic progression. Let us suppose, in order to simplify our notation, that this Red progression is  $\{s, 5s, 9s\}$ . (In all other cases, the argument is essentially the same.)

Consider the  $(s, t)$ -progressions  $\{s, 5s, 5s+4t\}$ ,  $\{5s, 9s, 9s+4t\}$ ,  $\{s, 9s, 9s+8t\}$ . Since by assumption none of these is monochromatic, and  $s, 5s, 9s$  are all Red, it follows that  $\{5s+4t, 9s+4t, 9s+8t\}$  is a Blue  $(s, t)$ -progression, a contradiction, completing the proof.

The following theorem will be useful in obtaining both upper and lower bounds for  $f(s, t)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $s, t, c$  be positive integers. Then  $f(cs, ct) = c(f(s, t) - 1) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = f(s, t)$ . Let  $B$  be a 2-colouring of  $[1, c(M-1) + 1]$ . Since every 2-colouring of  $[0, M-1]$  contains a monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression, every 2-colouring of  $\{1, c+1, 2c+1, \dots, (M-1)c+1\}$  contains a monochromatic  $(cs, ct)$ -progression. Thus,  $f(cs, ct) \leq c(M-1) + 1$ .

On the other hand, we know there is a 2-colouring,  $B$ , of  $[1, M-1]$  that contains no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progressions. Define  $B'$  on  $[1, c(M-1)]$  by  $B'([c(i-1) + 1, ci]) = B(i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, M-1$ . We will show that  $B'$  avoids monochromatic  $(cs, ct)$ -progressions, which will complete the proof.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is a  $(cs, ct)$ -progression, contained in  $[1, c(M-1)]$ , that is monochromatic under  $B'$ . Then there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $x_3 - x_2 = rct$ ,  $x_2 - x_1 = rcs$ . Let  $y_j = \lceil x_j/c \rceil$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $y_3 - y_2 = \lceil x_3/c \rceil - \lceil x_2/c \rceil = rt$ , and similarly  $y_2 - y_1 = rs$ .

Hence  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  is an  $(s, t)$ -progression. Also,  $B(y_j) = B(\lceil x_j/c \rceil) = B'(x_j)$ , for each  $j$ . This contradicts our assumption that there is no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression relative to the colouring  $B$ .  $\square$

Note that this proof easily extends to a proof that if  $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) = M$ , then  $f(ca_1, \dots, ca_k) = c(M-1) + 1$ , where  $f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  denotes the least positive integer  $N$  such that every 2-colouring of  $[1, N]$  will contain a monochromatic homothetic copy of  $\{1, 1+a_1, 1+a_1+a_2, \dots, 1+a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** For all positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ ,  $f(s, t) \leq 4(s+t) + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s, t$  be given. We may assume without loss of generality that  $s \leq t$ . We may also assume that  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ , for if we knew the result in this case then, with  $g = \gcd(s, t)$ , Theorem 1 would give  $f(s, t) = g[f(s/g, t/g) - 1] + 1 \leq g[4(s/g + t/g) + 1 - 1] + 1 = 4(s+t) + 1$ .

Consider the following set of 20 triples contained in  $[1, 4(s+t) + 1]$ , which are all  $(s, t)$ -progressions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1, s+1, s+t+1\}, \{s+1, 2s+1, 2s+t+1\} \\ & \{2s+1, 3s+1, 3s+t+1\}, \{3s+1, 4s+1, 4s+t+1\} \\ & \{1, 2s+1, 2s+2t+1\}, \{s+1, 3s+1, 3s+2t+1\} \\ & \{2s+1, 4s+1, 4s+2t+1\}, \{1, 3s+1, 3s+3t+1\} \\ & \{s+1, 4s+1, 4s+3t+1\}, \{1, 4s+1, 4s+4t+1\} \\ & \{s+t+1, 2s+t+1, 2s+2t+1\}, \{2s+t+1, 3s+t+1, 3s+2t+1\} \\ & \{3s+t+1, 4s+t+1, 4s+2t+1\}, \{s+t+1, 3s+t+1, 3s+3t+1\} \\ & \{2s+t+1, 4s+t+1, 4s+2t+1\}, \{s+t+1, 4s+t+1, 4s+4t+1\} \\ & \{2s+2t+1, 3s+2t+1, 3s+3t+1\}, \{3s+2t+1, 4s+2t+1, 4s+3t+1\} \\ & \{2s+2t+1, 4s+2t+1, 4s+4t+1\}, \{3s+3t+1, 4s+3t+1, 4s+4t+1\} \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check (under the assumptions that  $s \leq t$  and  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ ) that except in the cases  $s = 1, 1 \leq t \leq 3$ , the 15 integers which appear in these 20 triples are distinct. It is then a simple matter to check all 2-colourings of these 15 integers and verify that each 2-colouring has a monochromatic triple from the above list of 20 triples. (If one identifies these 15 integers with the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, 15$  via the correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \leftrightarrow 1, s+1 \leftrightarrow 2, 2s+1 \leftrightarrow 3, 3s+1 \leftrightarrow 4, 4s+1 \leftrightarrow 5, \\ s+t+1 & \leftrightarrow 6, 2s+t+1 \leftrightarrow 7, 3s+t+1 \leftrightarrow 8, 4s+t+1 \leftrightarrow 9, \\ 2s+2t+1 & \leftrightarrow 10, 3s+2t+1 \leftrightarrow 11, 4s+2t+1 \leftrightarrow 12, \\ 3s+3t+1 & \leftrightarrow 13, 4s+3t+1 \leftrightarrow 14, 4s+4t+1 \leftrightarrow 15, \end{aligned}$$

the resulting set of 20 triples contained in  $[1, 15]$  has a particularly pleasing form.) The cases  $s = 1, 1 \leq t \leq 3$  can be checked separately. In all cases we obtain  $f(s, t) \leq 4(s + t) + 1$ .  $\square$

### 3 Lower bounds and exact values for $f(s, t)$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $s, t$  be positive integers, and let  $g = \gcd(s, t)$ . If  $s/g \not\equiv 0$  and  $t/g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then  $f(s, t) = 4(s + t) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof splits naturally into two cases.

**Case 1.** Assume that  $s/g$  and  $t/g$  are both odd. In view of Theorem 2, we only need to show that  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s + t) + 1$ .

First, assume  $g = 1$ . Now colour  $[1, 4(s + t)]$  as

$$101010 \cdots 1010101 \cdots 01,$$

where each of the two long blocks has length  $2(s + t)$ . Assume  $x, y, z$  is a monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression. Then  $y = x + ds$  and  $z = y + dt$ , for some positive integer  $d$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  represent  $[1, 2(s + t)]$  and  $[2(s + t) + 1, 4(s + t)]$ , respectively.

In case  $d$  is odd, then  $x$  and  $y$  have opposite parity, and  $y$  and  $z$  have opposite parity. Since  $x$  and  $y$  have the same colour and opposite parity,  $x$  is in  $B_1$ , while  $y$  is in  $B_2$ . Hence  $z$  is in  $B_2$ , so that  $y$  and  $z$  cannot have the same colour, a contradiction.

If  $d$  is even, then  $x, y$  and  $z$  all have the same parity, so they all must be in the same  $B_i$ . But then  $d(s + t) = z - x \leq 2(s + t)$ , and hence  $d = 1$ , a contradiction.

If  $g$  is unequal to 1, then by Theorem 1 and the case in which  $g = 1$ ,  $f(s, t) = g[f(s/g, t/g) - 1] + 1 \geq g[4(s/g + t/g) + 1 - 1] + 1 = 4(s + t) + 1$ . This finishes the proof of Case 1.

**Case 2.** Assume without loss of generality that  $s/2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . First we assume that  $g = 1$ . Then  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $t$  is odd.

By Theorem 2, we only need to provide a 2-colouring of  $[1, 4(s + t)]$  that contains no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression. Let  $C$  be the colouring 11001100  $\cdots$  1100 (i.e.,  $s + t$  consecutive blocks each having the form 1100).

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that  $x, y, z$  is a monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression. So there exists a  $d > 0$  such that  $y - x = ds$  and  $z - y = dt$ . By the way  $C$  is defined, if  $C(i) = C(j)$  and  $j - i$  is even, then 4 divides  $j - i$ . Now since  $z - x = d(s + t) \leq 4(s + t) - 1$ , we must have that  $d < 4$ . The case  $d = 2$  is impossible, for if  $d = 2$ , then  $C(z) = C(x)$ ,  $z - x = d(s + t)$  is even, but 4 does not divide  $z - x$ , a contradiction. Hence  $d$  is odd. But then, since  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $y - x$  is even yet 4 doesn't divide  $y - x$ , again a contradiction.

This shows that  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s + t) + 1$  in the case  $g = 1$ .

If  $g$  is unequal to 1, we proceed just as at the end of Case 1.  $\square$

Suppose that  $s/g \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , where  $g = \gcd(s, t)$ . Then  $t/g$  is odd, and in the case  $t/g = 1$ , that is,  $t$  divides  $s$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $m, t$  be positive integers. Then either*

$$f(4mt, t) = 4(4mt + t) - t + 1 \text{ or } f(4mt, t) = 4(4mt + t) + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that  $4(4m + 1) \leq f(4m, 1) \leq 4(4m + 1) + 1$ . By Theorem 2, we only need to show that  $4(4m + 1) \leq f(4m, 1)$ . Thus it suffices to find a 2-colouring of  $[1, 16m + 3]$  that avoids monochromatic  $(4m, 1)$ -progressions. Let  $\chi$  be the colouring 1A0B0C1D0, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 00110011 \cdots 0011 \text{ has length } 4m \\ B &= 11001100 \cdots 11 \text{ has length } 4m - 2 \\ C &= 11001100 \cdots 1100 \text{ has length } 4m \\ D &= 00110011 \cdots 0011 \text{ has length } 4m. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $x, y, z$  is a monochromatic  $(4m, 1)$ -progression. We shall reach a contradiction. We know there exists a positive integer  $d$  such that  $y - x = 4md$  and  $z - y = d$ . Hence,  $d(4m + 1) \leq 16m + 2$ , so that  $d \leq 3$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= [2, 4m + 1] \text{ (corresponds to } A \text{ above)} \\ S_2 &= [4m + 3, 8m] \text{ (corresponds to } B \text{ above)} \\ S_3 &= [8m + 2, 12m + 1] \text{ (corresponds to } C \text{ above)} \\ S_4 &= [12m + 3, 16m + 2] \text{ (corresponds to } D \text{ above)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1.**  $d = 1$ . Then  $y, z$  belong to the same  $S_i$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Denote by  $S(i, j)$  the  $j$ th element of  $S_i$ . We see that  $y = S(i, j)$  for some odd  $j$ . Note that for each even  $p$ , if  $i = 2$  or  $4$ , then  $\chi(S(i - 1), p)$  is unequal to  $\chi(S(i, p - 1))$ . Now if  $i = 2$  or  $i = 4$ , then  $x = y - 4m = S(i - 1, j + 1)$ , so that (by the preceding remark),  $\chi(x)$  is different from  $\chi(y)$ , a contradiction. Now if  $i = 3$  and  $j > 1$ , then  $y - 4m = S(2, j - 1)$ , and  $\chi(x) = \chi(y - 4m)$  is unequal to  $\chi(y)$ , a contradiction. If  $i = 3$  and  $j = 1$ , then  $x = 4m + 2$  and  $y = 8m + 2$ , and these again have different colours.

**Case 2.**  $d = 2$ . Then  $y - x = 8m$  and  $z - y = 2$ . If  $\chi(y) = \chi(z)$  then  $y$  must be one of the following:  $4m + 1, 8m, 12m + 1$ ; and since  $y - x = 8m$ , this reduces the possibilities for  $y$  to only  $12m + 1$ . However we see that  $\chi(4m + 1)$  is unequal to  $\chi(12m + 1)$ , a contradiction.

**Case 3.**  $d = 3$ . Then  $y - x = 12m$  and  $z - y = 3$ . Clearly  $x$  belongs to  $[1, 4m]$ , so that  $y$  belongs to  $[12m + 1, 16m]$ . Now  $[1, 4m]$  has colouring 1 0011  $\cdots$  001100 1 while  $[12m + 1, 16m]$  has colouring 0100110011  $\cdots$  001100. Hence, since  $\chi(x) = \chi(y)$ ,  $y$  belongs to the set  $\{12m + 3, 12m + 5, 12m + 7, \dots, 16m - 1\}$ . Now  $z$  belongs to  $[12m + 4, 16m + 3]$ , so let's compare the colouring of  $[12m + 1, 16m]$  to that of  $[12m + 4, 16m + 3]$ :  $[12m + 1, 16m]$  has colouring as noted above, while  $[12m + 4, 16m + 3]$  has colouring 0 11001100  $\cdots$  11 0. Hence, in order for  $\chi(y) = \chi(z)$ ,  $y$  must belong to the set  $\{12m + 1, 12m + 2, 12m + 4, 12m + 6, \dots, 16m\}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $s, t$  be positive integers such that  $s > t > 1$  and  $t$  does not divide  $s$ . If  $\lfloor s/t \rfloor$  is even or  $\lfloor 2s/t \rfloor$  is even, where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  is the floor function, then  $f(s, t) = 4(s+t) + 1$ . If  $\lfloor s/t \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor 2s/t \rfloor$  are both odd, then  $f(s, t) = 4(s+t) + 1$  provided  $s, t$  satisfy the additional condition  $s/t \notin (1.5, 2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s, t$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. By Theorems 1 and 2, it suffices to show that  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s+t) + 1$  under the additional assumption that  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ , hence throughout the proof we assume  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ .

Let  $a = \lfloor s/t \rfloor$  and  $b = \lfloor 2s/t \rfloor$ . Then  $s = at + r$ , where  $0 < r < t$ . Also,  $2s = 2at + 2r$ , so if  $2r = t$  we would have  $t = 2$ . However, since  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ , the case  $t = 2$  is already covered by Theorem 3. Therefore we assume throughout that proof that  $2r \neq t$ .

**Case 1.** We assume that  $a$  is even and  $b$  is odd. Then  $b = 2a + 1$ ,  $2r > t$ , and  $2(s+t) = 2(at+r) + 2t = (b-1)t + 2r + 2t = (b+2)t + (2r-t)$ .

Hence we can colour  $[1, 4(s+t)]$  as follows. Let

$$C = QRQR \cdots QRQJ RQRQ \cdots RQRJ',$$

where  $Q = 11 \cdots 1$  and  $R = 00 \cdots 0$  each have length  $t$ ,  $J = 00 \cdots 0$  and  $J' = 11 \cdots 1$  each have length  $2r-t$ , and where each of  $Q$  and  $R$  appears  $b+2$  times.

Suppose  $x, y, z$  is any  $(s, t)$ -progression in  $[1, 4(s+t)]$  with  $y-x = ds$ ,  $z-y = dt$ . We will show that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is not monochromatic. Clearly  $d \leq 3$ , since  $d(s+t)z-x \leq 4(s+t) - 1$ .

If  $d = 2$ , then  $z-x = 2(s+t)$ , so  $C(z) \neq C(x)$ . (This is because the colouring on the second half of  $[1, 4(s+t)]$  is the reversal of the colouring on the first half.)

If  $d = 3$ , then, since  $z = y + 3t$  and  $C(i) \neq C(i+t)$  for all  $i > 2(s+t)$ , if  $C(y) = C(z)$  we must have  $y \leq 2(s+t)$ ; but then  $x = y - 3s \leq 2t - s$ . However, the conditions  $s > t$ ,  $s = at + r$ ,  $0 < r < t$ ,  $a$  even, imply that  $s > 2t$ , hence  $x < 0$ , a contradiction.

Now assume that  $d = 1$  and  $C(y) = C(z)$ . Since  $z = y + t$ ,  $y$  must occur in the block  $J$ , so  $C(y) = 0$ . Since  $J$  has length  $2r-t < r$ , we see that  $y-r$  must occur in the block  $Q$  just to the left of block  $J$ , so that  $y-at-r = x$  also occurs in a block  $Q$ , and  $C(x) = 1$ .

Hence there is no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression with respect to the colouring  $C$ , therefore  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s+t) + 1$ . This finishes Case 1.

**Case 2.** We assume that  $a$  is odd and  $b$  is even. Again we have  $s = at + r$ ,  $0 < r < t$ , but now  $b = 2a$ ,  $2r < t$ , and  $2(s+t) = (b+2)t + 2r$ .

Now colour  $[1, 4(s+t)]$  with the colouring

$$D = QRQR \cdots QRK RQRQ \cdots RQK',$$

where  $Q, R$  are defined as in Case 1, and  $K = 11 \cdots 1$ ,  $K' = 00 \cdots 0$  each have length  $2r$ .

Assume  $x, y, z$  is an  $(s, t)$ -progression contained in  $[1, 4(s+t)]$ , with  $y-x = ds$ ,  $z-y = dt$ ; then  $d \leq 3$ .

If  $d = 2$ , then as in Case 1,  $D(x) \neq D(z)$ .

If  $d = 3$ , and  $D(y) = D(z)$ , then as in Case 1,  $y \leq 2(s+t)$ . In fact, since  $K$  and  $R$  have opposite colours,  $y \leq 2(s+t) - 2r$ . On the other hand,  $y \geq 1 + 3s \geq 2s + t + r + 1$ , so  $y$  is an element of the last

occurrence of  $R$  in  $[1, 2(s+t)]$ , hence  $D(y) = 0$ . Then  $x = y - 3s \leq 2(s+t) - 2r - 3s < t$ , so  $D(x) = 1$  and  $D(x) \neq D(y)$ .

Now assume  $d = 1$  and  $D(y) = D(z)$ . Then  $y$  belongs to the last occurrence of  $R$  in  $[1, 2(s+t)]$ , and  $y \equiv i \pmod{t}$ , where  $2r < i \leq t$ . Hence, since  $a$  is odd,  $x = y - (at+r)$  lies in one of the  $Q$ 's, and  $D(x) = 1, D(y) = 0$ .

Thus, no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression exists in  $[1, 4(s+t)]$ , hence  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s+t) + 1$ .

**Case 3.** We assume that both  $a$  and  $b$  are even. Then  $s = at + r, b = 2a, 0 < 2r < t$ , and  $2(s+t) = (b+2)t + 2r$ . Note that  $a \geq 2$ , since  $s > t$ .

We define the colouring  $E$  on  $[1, 4(s+t)]$  as follows. Let us use the notation  $\sim 0 = 1$  and  $\sim 1 = 0$ . Then we define, in turn,

- (1)  $E(i) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq r,$
- (2)  $E(i) = \sim E(i-r), r < i \leq t,$
- (3)  $E(i) = \sim E(i-t), t < i \leq 2(s+t),$
- (4)  $E(i) = \sim E(i-2(s+t)), 2(s+t) < i \leq 4(s+t).$

That is,

$$E = XYXY \cdots XYLYXYX \cdots YXL',$$

where  $X$  has length  $t$  and consists of  $\lfloor t/r \rfloor$  blocks, each block of length  $r$ , followed by a single block of length  $t - \lfloor t/r \rfloor r$ , the blocks alternating in colour;  $Y$  is the same as  $X$ , except the colours are reversed;  $L$  is  $X$  restricted to  $[1, 2r]$ ; and  $L'$  is the same as  $L$ , except the colors are reversed.

Let  $x, y, z$  be an  $(s, t)$ -progression contained  $[1, 4(s+t)]$ , with  $y - x = ds, z - y = dt$ .

If  $d = 2$ , then by (4),  $E(x) = \sim E(z)$ .

If  $d = 3$  and  $E(y) = E(z)$ , then  $y \leq 2(s+t)$ , hence  $x = y - 3s \leq 2t - s = 2t - (at+r) \leq -r < 0$ , a contradiction.

If  $d = 1$  and  $E(y) = E(z)$ , then  $y \leq 2(s+t)$ . We consider two subcases.

The first subcase is  $y \equiv i \pmod{t}, r+1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then  $y$  and  $y-r$  are in the same block ( $X, Y$  or  $L$ ) hence by (2)  $E(y) = \sim E(y-r)$ . By (3), and the fact that  $a$  is even,  $E(y) = \sim E(y-r) = \sim E(y-at-r) = \sim E(x)$ .

The second subcase is  $y \equiv i \pmod{t}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ . Since  $E(y) = E(z) = E(y+t)$ ,  $y$  must belong to the block  $L$ , that is,  $y = (b+2)t + i = (2a+2)t + i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ . Since  $x = y - s = (2a+2)t + i - at - r = (a+1)t + (i+t-r)$ , and  $1 \leq i+t-r \leq t$ , by (3)  $E(x) = \sim E(i+t-r)$ . Also, since  $y = 2(s+t) - 2r + i$ , we have  $z = y + t = 2(s+t) + (i+t-2r)$ , so by (4),  $E(z) = \sim E(i+t-2r)$ . Since  $1 \leq i+t-2r \leq t$ , (2) gives  $E(z) = E(i+t-r) = \sim E(x)$ .

Thus, under the colouring  $E$ , there is no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression in  $[1, 4(s+t)]$ , hence  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s+t) + 1$ .

**Case 4.** Assume that both  $a$  and  $b$  are odd, and  $s/t \notin (1.5, 2)$ . It follows that  $s = at + r, 0 < r < t, b = 2a + 1, t < 2r$ , and  $2(s+t) = (b+2)t + (2r-t)$ . Also,  $a \geq 3$ , as a consequence of the assumption  $s/t \notin (1.5, 2)$ .

Let  $p = t - r$ . Then  $p < t/2$ . Define the colouring  $F$  by setting, in turn,

- (5)  $F(i) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq p,$   
(6)  $F(i) = \sim F(i - p), p < i \leq p,$   
(7)  $F(i) = \sim F(i - t), t < i \leq 2(s + t),$   
(8)  $F(i) = \sim F(i - 2(s + t)), 2(s + t) < i \leq 4(s + t),$

That is,

$$F = ABAB \cdots ABAM \ BABA \cdots BABM',$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are the same as blocks  $X$  and  $Y$  in Case 3, except that  $p$  replaces  $r$ ;  $M$  is  $B$  restricted to  $[1, 2r - t]$ ; and  $M'$  is the same as  $M$  with the colours interchanged.

Let  $x, y, z$  be an  $(s, t)$ -progression contained in  $[1, 4(s + t)]$ , with  $y - x = ds, z - y = dt$ .

If  $d = 2$ , then by (8),  $E(x) = \sim E(z)$ .

If  $d = 3$  and  $E(y) = E(z)$ , then  $y \leq 2(s + t)$ , hence (since  $a \geq 3$ )  $x = y - 3s \leq 2t - s = 2t - (at + r) < 0$ , a contradiction.

If  $d = 1$  and  $E(y) = E(z)$ , then  $y \leq 2(s + t)$ , and we again consider two subcases.

The first subcase is  $y = ut + i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then  $1 \leq i < i + p = i + t - r \leq t$ , so by (6),  $F(i + p) = \sim F(i)$ . Using (7) and the oddness of  $a$ , we get  $F(x) = F(y - at - r) = F(ut - (a + 1)t + i + t - r) = F(ut + i + t - r) = F(ut + i + p) = \sim F(ut + i) = \sim F(y)$ .

The second subcase is  $y = ut + i, r + 1 \leq i \leq t$ . Since  $F(y) = F(y + t)$  and  $M$  has fewer than  $i$  elements,  $y$  must belong to the last occurrence of the block  $A$  in  $[1, 2(s + t)]$ . Since  $2(s + t) = (b + 2)t + (2t - r)$ , this means that  $y = (b + 1)t + i$ , hence by (7),  $F(y) = F(i)$ . Since  $x = y - at - r = (b + 1)t + i - at - r$ , we have  $F(x) = \sim F(i - r) = F(i + t - r) = F(i + p) = \sim F(i) = \sim F(y)$ .

Thus, under the colouring  $F$ , there is no monochromatic  $(s, t)$ -progression in  $[1, 4(s + t)]$ , hence  $f(s, t) \geq 4(s + t) + 1$ .  $\square$

## 4 Remarks

By Theorems 1 and 3, we would know the value of  $f(s, t)$  for all  $s, t$  provided we knew the value of  $f(4m, t)$  when  $t$  is odd, and  $\gcd(m, t) = 1$ . (Here we are using  $f(s, t) = f(t, s)$ .) Theorem 4 shows  $4(4m + 1) \leq f(4m, 1) \leq 4(4m + 1) + 1$ . Theorem 5 takes care of many of the cases where  $t > 1$ . For example, Theorem 5 shows that  $f(4m, 3) = 4(4m + 3) + 1$  whenever 3 does not divide  $m$ . By examining the cases not covered by Theorem 5, one sees that these are exactly the cases  $f(t + r, t)$  where  $0 < r < t < 2r$ , and 4 divides  $t$  or 4 divides  $t + r$ .

The computations  $f(4, 1) = 20, f(8, 1) = 36, f(12, 1) = 52$  suggest that perhaps  $f(4m, 1) = 4(4m + 1)$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .

For positive integers  $r, a_1, \dots, a_n$ , let  $f^{(r)}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  denote the smallest positive integer  $N$  such that every  $r$ -colouring of  $[1, N]$  has a monochromatic homothetic copy of  $\{1, 1 + a_1, \dots, 1 + a_1 + \dots + a_n\}$ . Of course  $f^{(r)}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  always exists (by a statement of van der Warden's theorem which involves any number of colours), but perhaps one can say something about the rate of growth of  $f^{(r)}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  as a function of  $a_1 + \dots + a_n$ . The computations  $f^{(2)}(1, 1, 1) = 35, f^{(2)}(1, 1, 2) = 38, f^{(2)}(1, 1, 3) = 44,$



$f^{(2)}(1, 1, 4) = 56$ ,  $f^{(2)}(1, 1, 5) = 59$  suggest that  $f^{(2)}(1, 1, n)$  does not grow linearly with  $n$ . Perhaps  $f^{(2)}(1, 1, n) \sim c2^n$ .

We have no idea of the growth rate of  $f^{(3)}(s, t)$  as a function of  $s + t$ .

## References

- [1] T.C. Brown, P. Erdős, and A.R. Freedman, *Quasi-progressions and descending waves*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **53** (1990), 81–95.
- [2] Ronald L. Graham, Bruce L. Rothschild, and Joel H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. A Wiley-Interscience Publication., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [3] Bruce M. Landman and Raymond N. Greenwell, *Values and bounds for ramsey numbers associated to polynomial iteration*, Discrete Math. **68** (1988), 77–83.
- [4] ———, *Some new bounds and values for van der waerden-like numbers*, Graphs Combin. **6** (1990), 287–291.
- [5] B.L. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer baudetschen vermutung*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **15** (1927), 212–216.