

# Monochromatic Solutions to Equations with Unit Fractions

Tom C. Brown and Vojtech Rödl

**Citation data:** T.C. Brown and V. Rödl, *Monochromatic solutions to equations with unit fractions*, Bull. Aus. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 387–392.

Our main result is that if  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  is a system of homogeneous equations such that for every partition of the positive integers into finitely many classes there are distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in one class such that  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ , then, for every partition of the positive integers into finitely many classes there are distinct  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in one class such that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_n}\right) = 0.$$

In particular, we show that if the positive integers are split into  $r$  classes, then for every  $n \geq 2$  there are distinct positive integers  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in one class such that

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

We also show that if  $[1, n^6 - (n^2 - n)^2]$  is partitioned into two classes, then some class contains  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

(Here  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are not necessarily distinct.)

## 1 Introduction

In their monograph [1], Erdős and Graham list a large number of questions concerned with equations with unit fractions. In fact, a whole chapter is devoted to this topic. One of their questions, still open, is the following.

In the positive integers, let

$$H_m = \left\{ \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} : \sum_{k=1}^m 1/x_k = 1, 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m \right\},$$

and let  $H$  denote the union of all the  $H_m, m \geq 1$ . Now arbitrarily split the positive integers into  $r$  classes. Is it true that some element of  $H$  is contained entirely in one class?

---

<sup>0</sup>Received 29 May 1990

The first author was partially supported by NSERC.

In this note we show (Corollary 2.3 below) that if one does not require *all* the  $x_k$ 's to be distinct, but only *many* of the  $x_k$ 's to be distinct, then the answer to the corresponding question is yes. More precisely, we show that if the positive integers are split into  $r$  classes, then for every  $n$  there exist  $m \geq n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  (not necessarily distinct) in one class such that  $|\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}| \geq n$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^m 1/x_k = 1$ .

We actually show (Corollary 2.2 below) something stronger, namely that if the positive integers are split into  $r$  classes, then for every  $n \geq 2$  there are *distinct* positive integers  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in one class such that

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

(The preceding result then follows by taking  $x_0$  copies of each of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .)

Our main result (Theorem 2.1) is that if  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  is a system of homogeneous equations such that for every partition of the positive integers into finitely many classes there are distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in one class such that  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$ , then, for every partition of the positive integers into finitely many classes there are distinct  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in one class such that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_n}\right) = 0.$$

In particular, we show that if the positive integers are split into  $r$  classes, then for every  $n \geq 2$  there are distinct positive integers  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in one class such that

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

We also prove (Theorem 2.3) the following quantitative result. Let  $f(n)$  be the smallest  $N$  such that if  $[1, N]$  is partitioned into *two* classes, then some class contains  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $1/x_0 = 1/x_1 + \dots + 1/x_n$ . (Here,  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are not necessarily distinct.) Then

$$f(n) \leq n^6 - (n^2 - n)^2.$$

## 2 Results

From now on we shall use the terminology of *colourings* rather than *partitions*. That is, instead of "partition into  $r$ " classes we say " $r$ -colouring," and instead of "there are distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in one class such that  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$ " we say "there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  in distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ ".

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  be a system of homogeneous equations such that for every finite colouring of the positive integers there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  in distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Then for every finite colouring of the positive integers there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(1/z_1, \dots, 1/z_n) = 0$  in distinct  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be given, and consider a system  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  of homogeneous equations such that for every  $r$ -colouring of the positive integers there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  in distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . By a standard compactness argument, there exists a positive integer  $T$  such that if

$[1, T]$  is  $r$ -coloured, there is a monochromatic solution to  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  in distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ .

Let  $S$  denote the least common multiple of  $1, 2, \dots, T$ . We show that for every  $r$ -colouring of  $[1, S]$  there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(1/z_1, \dots, 1/z_n) = 0$  in distinct  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

To do this, let

$$c : [1, S] \rightarrow [1, r]$$

be an arbitrary  $r$ -colouring of  $[1, S]$ .

Define an  $r$ -colouring  $\bar{c}$  of  $[1, T]$  by setting

$$\bar{c}(x) = c(S/x), 1 \leq x \leq T.$$

By the definition of  $T$ , there is a solution of  $G(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  in distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  such that

$$\bar{c}(y_1) = \bar{c}(y_2) = \dots = \bar{c}(y_n).$$

By the definition of  $\bar{c}$ , this means that

$$c(S/y_1) = c(S/y_2) = \dots = c(S/y_n).$$

Setting  $z_i = S/y_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have that  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are distinct, are monochromatic relative to the colouring  $c$  of  $[1, S]$ , and that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_n}\right) = 0. \quad \square$$

Omitting all references to distinctness, one gets the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  be a system of homogeneous equations such that for every finite colouring of the positive integers there is a monochromatic solution of  $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ . Then, for every finite colouring of the positive integers there is monochromatic solution of  $G(1/z_1, \dots, 1/z_n) = 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$  be positive integers such that*

1. *some non-empty subset of the  $a_i$ 's has the same sum as some non-empty subset of the  $b_j$ 's and*
2. *there exist distinct integers  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that  $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n$ .*

*Then, given any  $r$ -colouring of the positive integers, there is a monochromatic solution of*

$$\frac{a_1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{x_m} = \frac{b_1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{b_n}{y_n}.$$

*in distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$  satisfy conditions 1. and 2. According to Rado's theorem [3] (also see [2, p. 59]), the equation

$$a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_m x_m = b_1 y_1 + \dots + b_n y_n$$

will always have a monochromatic solution  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ , for every  $r$ -colouring of the positive integers, because of condition 1. The additional condition 2. is enough (see [2, p. 62 Corollary 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ ]) to

ensure that the equation

$$a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_mx_m = b_1y_1 + \cdots + b_ny_n$$

will always have a monochromatic solution  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ , in *distinct*  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Theorem 2.1 now applies.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let an arbitrary  $r$ -colouring of the positive integers be given. Let  $n, a$  be positive integers, with  $n \geq 2$ , and  $1 \leq a \leq n$ . Then the equation*

$$\frac{a}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}$$

*has a monochromatic solution in distinct  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Corollary 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let an arbitrary colouring of the positive integers be given. Then for every  $n$  there exist  $m \geq n$  and monochromatic  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  (not necessarily distinct) such that  $|\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}| \geq n$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^m 1/x_k = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 2.2 (with  $a = 1$ ) and take  $x_0$  copies of each of  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For each  $n \geq 2$ , let  $f(n)$  be the smallest  $N$  such that if  $[1, N]$  is partitioned into two classes, then some class contains  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

*(Here,  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are not necessarily distinct.) Then*

$$f(n) \leq n^6 - (n^2 - n)^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is by contradiction. Fix  $n \geq 2$ , let  $N = n^6 - (n^2 - n)^2$ , and suppose throughout the proof that  $c : [1, N] \mapsto \{1, 2\}$  is some fixed 2-colouring of  $[1, N]$  for which there does *not* exist any monochromatic solution of

$$\frac{1}{x_0} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** (a) *If  $nx \leq N$  then  $c(nx) \neq c(x)$ .*

(b) *If  $n^2x \leq N$  then  $c(n^2x) = c(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Part (a) follows from  $1/x = 1/(nx) + \cdots + 1/(nx)$ . Part (b) follows from part (a).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $n^2(n^2 + n - 1)x \leq N$ , then  $c((n^2 + n - 1)x) \neq c(x)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from

$$\frac{1}{n^2x} = \frac{1}{n^2 + n - 1}x + (n - 1)\frac{1}{n^2(n^2 + n - 1)x}$$

and Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $n^2(n^2 - n + 1)x \leq N$ , then  $c((n^2 - n + 1)x) \neq c(x)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from

$$\frac{1}{(n^2 - n + 1)x} = \frac{1}{n^2x} + (n-1)\frac{1}{n^2(n^2 - n + 1)x}$$

and Lemma 2.1. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $n^2(n^2 + n - 1)x \leq N$ , then  $c((n + 1)x) = c(x)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from

$$\frac{1}{n(n+1)x} = \frac{1}{(n^2 + n - 1)(n+1)x} + (n-1)\frac{1}{(n^2 + n - 1)nx},$$

and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $n^2(n^2 + n - 1)(n^2 - n + 1)x \leq N$ , then  $c(2x) = c(x)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from

$$\frac{1}{(n^2 - n + 1)2x} = \frac{1}{(n^2 + n - 1)2x} + (n-1)\frac{1}{(n^2 + n - 1)(n^2 - n + 1)x}$$

and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. □

Finally, Theorem 2.3 is proved by observing that

$$\frac{1}{2 \cdot 1} = \frac{1}{(n+1) \cdot 1} + (n-1)\frac{1}{2(n+1) \cdot 1},$$

and by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5,  $c(2 \cdot 1) = c((n+1) \cdot 1) = c(2(n+1) \cdot 1) = c(1)$ , a contradiction. □

**Remark.** The authors have learned that Hanno Lefmann (Bielefeld) has independently obtained results which include our Theorem 2.2.

## References

- [1] P. Erdős and R.L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 28, Université de Genève, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [2] Ronald L. Graham, Bruce L. Rothschild, and Joel H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [3] R. Rado, *Studien zur kombinatorik*, Math Z. **36** (1933), 424–480.