

# Affine and Combinatorial Binary $m$ -Spaces

T. C. Brown

**Citation data:** T.C. Brown, *Affine and combinatorial binary  $m$ -spaces*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **38** (1985), 25–34.

## Abstract

Let  $V(n)$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the 2-element field. Let  $a(m, r)$  (respectively,  $c(m, r)$ ) denote the smallest positive integer such that if  $n \geq a(m, r)$  (respectively  $n \geq c(m, r)$ ), and  $V(n)$  is arbitrarily partitioned into  $r$  classes  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then some class  $C_i$  must contain an  $m$ -dimensional affine (respectively, combinatorial) subspace of  $V(n)$ . Upper bounds for the functions  $a(m, r)$  and  $c(m, r)$  are investigated, as are upper bounds for the corresponding “density functions”  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  and  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ .

## 1 Introduction and definitions

Throughout,  $V(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the 2-element field  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ :

$$V(n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

For integers  $m \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $a(m, r)$  is defined to be the smallest positive integer such that if  $n \geq a(m, r)$  and  $V(n)$  is arbitrarily partitioned into  $r$  classes  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then some class  $C_i$  contains an affine  $m$ -space. (An *affine  $m$ -space* is any translate (coset) of an  $m$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $V(n)$ . An affine 1-space is usually called an *affine line*.)

Similarly,  $c(m, r)$  is defined to be the smallest positive integer such that if  $n \geq c(m, r)$  and  $V(n)$  is arbitrarily partitioned into  $r$  classes then some class must contain a combinatorial  $m$ -space. (The definition of combinatorial  $m$ -space is given in Section 3 below.)

The existence of  $a(m, r)$  and  $c(m, r)$  for all  $m, r$  is a consequence of a special case of the extended Hales-Jewett theorem [5, 6].

In this note we investigate upper bounds for the functions  $a(m, r)$  and  $c(m, r)$ .

We also investigate upper bounds for the corresponding density functions  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  and  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ , which are defined as follows.

For any integer  $m \geq 1$  and real number  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  (respectively,  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ ) is defined to be the smallest integer such that if  $n \geq \bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  (respectively,  $n \geq \bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ ) and  $A$  is an arbitrary subset of  $V(n)$  which contains at least  $\varepsilon|V(n)|$  elements, then  $A$  must contain an affine (respectively, combinatorial)  $m$ -space.

The existence of  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  follows from a result of Brown and Buhler [2, Lemma 1], which in turn is based upon a lemma of Szemerédi [7]. (See also Graham, Rothschild, and Spencer [5, p. 44] and Graham [4, p. 19].)

The existence of  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$  is a consequence of a different result of Brown and Buhler [3]. (The existence of  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$  also follows from this latter result.)

## 2 Upper bounds for $a(m, r)$ and $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$

For the definitions of  $a(m, r)$  and  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon)$ , see Section 1.

**Theorem 1.** For  $m \geq 1, k \geq 1$ ,

$$\bar{a}(m, 2^{-k}) \leq 2^m(k+2). \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \geq 1, k \geq 1$  be given, and let  $n = 2^m(k+2)$ .

Let  $V = V(n)$ , so that  $|V| = 2^n$  and  $n = \log |V|$ . (All logarithms here are taken with base 2.)

Now let  $\varepsilon = 2^{-k}$ , and let

$$A \subset V, \quad |A| \geq \varepsilon |V|.$$

One obtains, after a little juggling,

$$m = \log \log |V| - \log \log(4/\varepsilon).$$

It is shown in [2] that under exactly these circumstances the subset  $A$  must contain an affine  $m$ -space. Therefore  $\bar{a}(m, 2^{-k}) \leq n = 2^m(k+2)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** When  $\varepsilon$  is not  $2^{-k}$ , one can still use Theorem 1 to get

$$\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon) \leq \bar{a}(m, 2^{-k}) \leq 2^m(k+2),$$

where

$$2^{-k} < \varepsilon < 2^{-(k-1)}.$$

**Theorem 2.** For  $m \geq 1, k \geq 1$ ,

$$a(m, 2^k) \leq 2^m(k+2). \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 1, since if  $V(n)$  is partitioned into  $2^k$  classes, then at least one of these classes has density at least  $2^{-k}$ . That is, if

$$V(n) = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{2^k},$$

then for some  $i$ ,

$$|C_i| \geq 2^{-k} |V(n)|.$$

Hence  $a(m, 2^k) \leq \bar{a}(m, 2^{-k}) \leq 2^m(k+2)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** If  $r$  is not  $2^k$ , then one obtains

$$a(m, r) \leq a(m, 2^k) \leq 2^m(k+2)$$

where

$$2^{k-1} < r < 2^k.$$

**Theorem 3.**

$$a(m, 1) = m, \quad m \geq 1, \quad (3)$$

$$a(1, r) = 1 + \lceil \log_2 r \rceil, \quad r \geq 1, \quad (4)$$

$$a(2, 2^k - 1) \leq 3k, \quad k \geq 2, \quad (5)$$

$$a(3, 2^k - 1) \leq 10k - 2, \quad k \geq 3, \quad (6)$$

$$a(3, 3) \leq 15, \quad a(4, 3) \leq 55. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Equalities (3) and (4) are obvious. Note that any 2-element subset of  $V(n)$  is an affine line in  $V(n)$ .

Inequalities (5) and (6) are proved using the following method.

To prove (5), fix  $k \geq 2$  and let

$$V(3k) = V(2k) \times V(k)$$

be partitioned into  $2^k - 1$  classes  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1$ . We need to show that some affine 2-space in  $V(3k)$  is contained in some  $C_i$ .

Let  $y \in V(2k)$ . Then  $\{y\} \times V(k)$  is partitioned into  $2^k - 1$  classes

$$(\{y\} \times V(k)) \cap C_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1.$$

Since  $a(1, 2^k - 1) = k$ , there is an affine line

$$f(y) \subset V(k)$$

such that the affine line

$$\{y\} \times f(y) \subset V(3k)$$

is contained in some  $C_i$ .

Now we partition  $V(2k)$  into  $(2^k - 1)^2$  classes  $D(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2^k - 1$ , in the following way. Let the distinct 1-dimensional vector subspaces of  $V(k)$  be denoted by  $S_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2^k - 1$ . Then the element  $y$  of  $V(2k)$  belongs to the class  $D(i, j)$  if and only if  $\{y\} \times f(y) \subset C_i$  and  $f(y)$  is a translate of  $S_j$ .

Since  $a(1, (2^k - 1)^2) = 1 + \lceil \log_2 (2^k - 1)^2 \rceil = 2k$ , there is an affine line  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  contained in some  $D(i, j)$ . It follows that

$$\{y_1\} \times f(y_1) \cup \{y_2\} \times f(y_2)$$

is an affine 2-space contained in  $C_i$ . This proves (5).

The proof of (6) uses the same idea. Fix  $k \geq 3$ , and let

$$V(10k - 2) = V(7k - 2) \times V(3k)$$

be partitioned into  $2^k - 1$  classes  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1$ . We need to show that some affine 3-space of  $V(10k - 2)$  is contained in some  $C_i$ .

Let  $y \in V(7k - 2)$ ; then  $\{y\} \times V(3k)$  is partitioned into

$$(\{y\} \times V(3k)) \cap C_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1.$$

Since  $a(2, 2^k - 1) \leq 3k$ , there is an affine 2-space

$$f(y) \subset V(3k)$$

such that the affine 2-space

$$\{y\} \times f(y) \subset V(10k - 2)$$

is contained in some  $C_i$ .

Now we partition  $V(7k - 2)$  into  $(2^k - 1)t$  classes  $D(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , where

$$t = \frac{(2^{3k} - 1)(2^{3k} - 2)}{(2^2 - 1)(2^2 - 2)}$$

is the number of 2-dimensional vector subspaces of  $V(3k)$ , just as before: Let the 2-dimensional vector subspaces of  $V(3k)$  be denoted by  $S_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Then the element  $y$  of  $V(7k - 2)$  belongs to the class  $D(i, j)$  if and only if  $\{y\} \times f(y) \subset C_i$  and  $f(y)$  is a translate of  $S_j$ .

Now  $a(1, (2^k - 1)t) = 1 + \lceil \log_2(2^k - 1)t \rceil = 7k - 2$  (for this we need  $k \geq 3$ ), and hence there is an affine line  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  contained in some  $D(i, j)$ . It follows that

$$\{y_1\} \times f(y_1) \cup \{y_2\} \times f(y_2)$$

is an affine 3-space contained in  $C_i$ . This proves (6).

The bounds in (7) are proved using the same method. □

**Remark 3.** If the method above is continued to  $a(4, 2^k - 1)$ ,  $a(5, 2^k - 1)$  and so on, the resulting bounds are not as strong as those given by Theorem 2 (With the exception of  $a(4, 3)$ .)

### 3 Upper bounds for $c(m, r)$ and $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$

For the definitions of  $c(m, r)$  and  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ , see Section 1.

**Definition 1.** A *combinatorial  $m$ -space* in  $V(n)$  is any set  $S$  (of  $2^m$  elements of  $V(n)$ ) which can be described as follows. For some partition

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m,$$

where  $B_0$  may be empty but  $B_j$  is not empty,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and for some function  $f$  from  $B_0$  into  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $S$  is the set of all points  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $V(n)$  such that

$$x_i = f(i), \quad \text{for } i \in B_0,$$

$$x_i = x_{i'}, \quad \text{for } i, i' \in B_j, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

A combinatorial 1-space is usually called a *combinatorial line*.

For example, with  $m = 3$ ,  $n = 8$ ,  $B_0 = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{3, 4\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{5\}$ ,  $B_3 = \{6, 7, 8\}$ ,  $f(1) = f(2) = 1$ ,  $S$  is the 3-space

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 00 & 0 & 000 \\ 11 & 00 & 0 & 111 \\ 11 & 00 & 1 & 000 \\ 11 & 00 & 1 & 111 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 11 & 0 & 000 \\ 11 & 11 & 0 & 111 \\ 11 & 11 & 1 & 000 \\ 11 & 11 & 1 & 111 \end{array}$$

With  $m = 1$ ,  $n = 8$ ,  $B_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ ,  $f(1) = f(4) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = f(3) = 0$ ,  $S$  is the line

$$\begin{array}{c} 10010000 \\ 10011111 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 4.** For each  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$c(1, r) = r.$$

*Proof.* If  $V(r) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_r$ , then some two of

$$\begin{array}{c} 000 \dots 0 \\ 100 \dots 0 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ 111 \dots 1 \end{array}$$

belong to the same class  $B_i$ . Hence  $c(1, r) \leq r$ .

Let  $V(r-1) = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{r-1}$ , where for  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ ,

$$C_i = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) \in V(r-1) : \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x_j = i \right\}.$$

Then no  $C_i$  contains a combinatorial line, Hence  $c(1, r) \geq r$ . □

The proof of Theorem 5 below is similar to the proof of Theorem 3. The main part of the proof is contained in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $m \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , be given, and let  $t(m, r)$  be the number of distinct combinatorial  $m$ -spaces contained in  $V(c(m, r))$ . Then

$$c(m+1, r) \leq r \cdot t(m, r) + c(m, r).$$

*Proof.* For convenience write  $t = t(m, r)$  and  $s = c(m, r)$ . Let

$$V(rt + s) = V(rt) \times V(s)$$

be partitioned into  $r$  classes  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . To show that some combinatorial  $(m + 1)$ -space in  $V(rt + s)$  is contained in some  $C_i$ , we proceed just as in the proof of Theorem 3.

For each  $y \in V(rt)$ ,  $\{y\} \times V(s)$  has been partitioned into classes

$$\{y\} \times V(s) \cap C_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

and since  $s = c(m, r)$  there is a combinatorial  $m$ -space

$$f(y) \subset V(s)$$

such that the combinatorial  $m$ -space

$$\{y\} \cap f(y) \subset V(rt + s)$$

is contained in some  $C_i$ .

Now partition  $V(rt)$  into  $rt$  classes  $D(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , by putting the element  $y$  of  $V(rt)$  into the class  $D(i, j)$  if and only if  $\{y\} \times f(y) \subset C_i$  and  $f(y) = S_j$ , where  $S_1, \dots, S_t$  are the combinatorial  $m$ -spaces in  $V(s)$ .

Since  $c(1, rt) = rt$ , there is a combinatorial line  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  contained in some  $D(i, j)$ , and therefore

$$\{y_1\} \times f(y_1) \cup \{y_2\} \times f(y_2)$$

is a combinatorial  $(m + 1)$ -space contained in  $C_i$ . □

**Lemma 2.** *The number of combinatorial  $m$ -spaces in  $V(s)$  is*

$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} (m + 2 - j)^s.$$

*Proof.* Each combinatorial  $m$ -space in  $V(s)$  corresponds to an  $s$ -tuple on the  $m + 2$  symbols  $0, 1, b_1, \dots, b_m$ , in which each  $b_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , occurs at least once. In the examples described after the Definition above, the 3-space corresponds to  $11b_1b_1b_2b_3b_3b_3$ , and the 1-space (line) corresponds to  $1001b_1b_1b_1b_1$ . Counting by inclusion-exclusion gives the result. □

**Theorem 5.** *For  $m = 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , we have*

$$c(2, r) < r \cdot (3^r - 2^r) + r < r \cdot 3^r.$$

*In general, for  $m \geq 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$c(m, r) < r \cdot ((m + 1)r)^{\binom{m}{r} \cdot (4^r)^{\binom{m}{r}}}$$

*Proof.* This is a crude estimate based on Lemmas 1 and 2. □

We now turn to upper bounds for the function  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon)$ .

**Theorem 6.** For  $m \geq 1, r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}(m, 1) &= 1; \\ \bar{c}(1, 1/r) &\leq r^2.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is an easy consequence of Sperner's lemma on families of pairwise incomparable subsets of a set (see [4] for details) that if  $A$  is any subset of  $V(n)$  such that  $A$  contains no combinatorial line then

$$|A| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

Using

$$n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{1/(12n+1)} \leq n! \leq n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{1/12n},$$

one obtains

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot 2^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence if  $A \subset V(r^2)$ ,  $|A| \geq (1/r)|V(r^2)|$ , then

$$|A| \geq \frac{1}{r} \cdot 2^{r^2} > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2}} \cdot 2^{r^2} > \binom{r^2}{\lfloor r^2/2 \rfloor},$$

and therefore  $A$  contains a combinatorial line. □

**Lemma 3.** For  $m \geq 1, r \geq 1$ , let  $n = \bar{c}(m, 1/(r+1))$  and let  $e$  be the number of distinct combinatorial  $m$ -spaces contained in  $V(n)$ . Then

$$\bar{c}(m+1, 1/r) \leq n + r^4 e^2.$$

In particular, using Theorem 6 and Lemma 2,

$$\bar{c}(2, 1/r) \leq (r+1)^2 + r^4 (3^{(r+1)^2} - 2^{(r+1)^2})^2.$$

*Proof.* It is shown in [3] that

$$\bar{c}(m+1, 1/r) \leq n + \bar{c}(1, (r^2 e)^{-1}).$$

Applying Theorem 6 gives the result. □

One can now apply Lemma 3 (and Lemma 2) repeatedly to get an explicit upper bound for  $\bar{c}(m, 1/r)$ . One estimate obtained in this way is the following.

**Theorem 7.** For  $m \geq 2, r \geq 1$ , let  $s = (r+m-1)^4$ . Then

$$c(m, 1/r) \leq r^4 ((m+1)^s)^{(m^s)^{\cdot(4^s)(3^s)}}.$$

## 4 Remarks

Since Theorem 1 above is surely *much* stronger than Theorem 2, it should be possible to considerably strengthen Theorem 2.

Replacing the two-element field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  by the three-element field  $\mathbb{F}_3$  (or any larger field) leads to considerable difficulties. Let  $a(m, r, q), \dots$  be the functions defined analogously to  $a(m, r), \dots$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is replaced by the  $q$ -element field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . (The definitions of affine  $m$ -space and combinatorial  $m$ -space remain unchanged. Note, however, that the definition of a combinatorial  $m$ -space does not require a finite field, but only a finite set.)

The existence of  $a(m, r, q)$  and  $c(m, r, q)$  follows from the Hales-Jewett theorem. The existence of  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon, q)$  is known only for  $q = 2$  and  $q = 3$  [2, 3], and the existence of  $\bar{c}(m, \varepsilon, q)$  is not known even for  $q = 3$ . R. L. Graham has offered a reward [4] for an answer to the question of the existence of  $\bar{c}(1, \varepsilon, 3)$ .

Following the methods used above to prove Theorems 3, 5, and 7, one could calculate upper bounds for, say,  $a(m, r, 3)$  and  $\bar{a}(m, \varepsilon, 3)$ , and  $c(m, r, 3)$  in terms of upper bounds for the case  $m = 1$ . However, no satisfactory upper bounds for  $a(1, r, 3)$ ,  $\bar{a}(1, \varepsilon, 3)$ , and  $c(1, r, 3)$  have been found.

(It is trivial that  $a(1, 1, 3) = 1$  and  $a(1, 2, 3) = 2$ . A recent calculation [1] shows that  $a(1, 3, 3) = 4$ .)

Finally, we remark that by identifying  $V(n)$  with the set of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  in the natural way, the combinatorial  $m$ -spaces in  $V(n)$  become identified with collections of the form

$$\left\{ A_0 \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i : I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \right\},$$

where  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$  are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are non-empty.

The functions  $c(m, r)$  and  $\bar{c}(m, 1/r)$  can be interpreted from this point of view. Related bounds have been found by Alan Taylor [8] for the case where  $A_0$  is empty and  $I$  is non-empty.

## References

- [1] T.C. Brown, *Monochromatic affine lines in finite vector spaces*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **38** (1985), 35–41.
- [2] T.C. Brown and J.P. Buhler, *A density version of a geometric Ramsey theorem*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **25** (1982), 20–34.
- [3] ———, *Lines imply spaces in density Ramsey theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **36** (1984), 214–220.
- [4] Ron L. Graham, *Rudiments of ramsey theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1981.
- [5] Ronald L. Graham, Bruce L. Rothschild, and Joel H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [6] Alfred W. Hales and Robert I. Jewett, *Regularity and positional games*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 222–239.

- [7] E. Szemerédi, *On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in an arithmetic progression*, Acta. Arith. **27** (1975), 199–245, Collection of articles in memory of Jurii Vladimirovic Linnik.
- [8] Alan D. Taylor, *Bounds for the disjoint unions theorem*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **30** (1981), 339–344.