

# A coloring of the non-negative integers, with applications

Tom C. Brown

Department of Mathematics

Simon Fraser University

Burnaby, BC

Canada V5A 1S6

tbrown@sfu.ca

**Citation data:** T.C. Brown, *A partition of the non-negative integers, with applications*, INTEGERS - Elect. J. Combin. Number Theory **5** (2005), no. 2, A2, (Proceedings of the Integers Conference 2003 in Honor of Tom Brown's Birthday).

## Abstract

We describe a particular partition of the non-negative integers which consists of infinitely many translates of an infinite set. This partition is used to show that a certain van der Waerden-like theorem has no simple canonical version. The partition is also used to give a lower bound for one of the classical van der Waerden functions, namely  $w(3;m)$ , the smallest positive integer such that every  $m$ -coloring of  $[1, w(3;m)]$  produces a monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression. Several open questions are mentioned.

## Introduction

Let  $S$  denote the set of all distinct sums of odd powers of 2, including 0 as the empty sum. Then every non-negative integer can be written uniquely in the form  $s + t$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , and define  $f(n) = s$ . In other words, if  $n = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} 2^i + \sum_{i \text{ even}} 2^i$ , then  $f(n) = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} 2^i$ . For this coloring  $f$ , the set of colors is  $S$ , and for each  $s \in S$ ,  $f$  is constant on the "color class"  $s + T$ .

## A van der Waerden-like theorem, and its canonical version

We need the following definition.

**Definition 1.** If  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\} \subset \omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the gap size of  $A$  is  $\text{gs}(A) = \max\{a_{j+1} - a_j : 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\}$ . If  $|A| = 1$ ,  $\text{gs}(A) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $\omega$  is finitely colored, there exist a fixed  $d \geq 1$  ( $d$  depends only on the coloring) and arbitrarily large (finite) monochromatic sets  $A$  with  $\text{gs}(A) = d$ .

This fact first appeared in [3]. A proof can be found in [12]. Various applications appear in [4, 6, 11, 13].

Theorem 1 is somewhat similar in form to van der Waerden’s theorem on arithmetic progressions [15]. (Van der Waerden’s theorem says that for every  $k$ , every finite coloring of the positive integers produces a monochromatic  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.) However, Theorem 1 differs in a number of ways:

Van der Waerden’s theorem does not imply Theorem 1, since the  $d$  in the conclusion of Theorem 1 is independent of the size of the monochromatic sets  $A$ . Beck [1] showed the existence of a 2-coloring of  $\omega$  such that if  $A$  is any monochromatic arithmetic progression with common difference  $d$ , then  $|A| < 2 \log d$ . Hence the presence of large monochromatic arithmetic progressions, which is guaranteed by van der Waerden’s theorem, is not enough to imply Theorem 1. Somewhat earlier, Justin [10] found an explicit coloring such that if  $A$  is any monochromatic arithmetic progression with common difference  $d$ , then  $|A| < h(d)$ ; in his example, the coloring is explicit but the function  $h(d)$  is not.

Theorem 1 (which has a simple proof) does not imply van der Waerden’s theorem in a simple way. (In Chapter 14 of [8], Hindman and Strauss give a proof that Fact 1 does in fact imply van der Waerden’s theorem – and at this point in their book, the proof *does* seem simple – however, a fair amount of machinery has been developed by this point.)

Theorem 1 does not have a density version corresponding to Szemerédi’s theorem [14]. That is, there exists a set  $X \subset \omega$  with positive upper density for which there do *not* exist a fixed  $d \geq 1$  and arbitrarily large sets  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\} \subset X$  with  $\text{gs}(A) = d$ . For an example of such a set  $X$ , see [2].

Finally, no “canonical version” of this result is known. The Erdős-Graham canonical version of van der Waerden’s theorem ([7]) states that if  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  is an arbitrary coloring of  $\omega$  (using finitely many or infinitely many colors) then there exist arbitrarily large arithmetic progressions  $A$  such that either  $g$  is constant on  $A$ , i.e.  $|g(A)| = 1$ , or  $g$  is one-to-one on  $A$ , i.e.  $|g(A)| = |A|$ .

We show that there is no such canonical version of Theorem 1. This is Corollary 1 below.

A very brief sketch of an outline of a proof of the following result has appeared in [5]. It seems worthwhile to fill in some of the missing details.

**Theorem 2.** *For every  $A \subset \omega$  (with  $f$  as described in the introduction),*

$$\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{|A|/\text{gs}(A)} < |f(A)| < 4 \sqrt{|A|\text{gs}(A)}$$

**Corollary 1.** *For the coloring  $f$  above, there do not exist a fixed  $d$  and arbitrarily large sets  $A$  with  $\text{gs}(A) = d$  on which  $f$  is either constant or 1-1.*

*Proof of Corollary 1.* If  $16\text{gs}(A) \leq |A|$ , then by Theorem 2,  $1 < |f(A)| < |A|$ . □

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following definition.

**Definition 2.** *For  $k \geq 0$ , an aligned block of size  $4^k$  is a set of  $4^k$  consecutive non-negative integers whose smallest element is  $m4^k$ , for some  $m \geq 0$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Note that the first aligned block of size  $4^k$ , namely  $[0, 4^k - 1] = [0, 2^{2k} - 1]$ , is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of all binary sequences of length  $2k$ . From this we see (by the definition of  $f$ ) that for  $n \in [0, 2^{2k} - 1]$ , there are  $2^k$  possible values of  $f(n)$ , and each value occurs exactly  $2^k$  times. It is easy to see (using the definition of  $f$ ) that the same is true for any aligned block  $[m4^k, m4^k + 4^k - 1]$ . We express this more simply by saying that “each aligned block of size  $4^k$  has  $2^k$  colors, each appearing exactly  $2^k$  times.”

Now we can establish the upper bound in Theorem 2. Let  $A = \{a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\} \subset \omega$ . Then  $a_n \leq a_0 + ngs(A) = a_0 + (|A| - 1)gs(A)$ , or

$$a_n - a_0 < |A|gs(A).$$

Choose  $s$  minimal so that  $A$  is contained in the union of two adjacent aligned blocks of size  $4^s$ . (Two blocks are necessary in case  $A$  contains both  $m4^s - 1$  and  $m4^s$  for some  $m$ .) Then

$$4^{s-1} < a_n - a_0.$$

Since each aligned block of size  $4^s$  has  $2^s$  colors,

$$|f(A)| \leq 2 \cdot 2^s.$$

Putting these three inequalities together gives

$$|f(A)| < 4\sqrt{|A|gs(A)}.$$

Next, we establish the lower bound for  $|f(A)|$ , which requires a bit more care. We will use the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *For each  $k \geq 0$ , any two aligned blocks of size  $4^k$  (consecutive or not) are either colored identically, or have no color in common.*

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Consider the aligned blocks  $[p4^k, p4^k + 4^k - 1]$  and  $[q4^k, q4^k + 4^k - 1]$ . By the definition of  $f$  (and since  $4^k$  is an even power of 2),  $f(p4^k) = f(p)4^k$ , so that  $f(p4^k) = f(q4^k)$  if and only if  $f(p) = f(q)$ . Also, for  $0 \leq j \leq 4^k - 1$ ,  $f(p4^k + j) = f(p4^k) + f(j)$ . This last equality obviously holds if  $p = 0$ , and for  $p > 0$  it holds since then each power of 2 which occurs in  $j$  is less than each power of 2 which occurs in  $p4^k$ . Thus the blocks  $[p4^k, p4^k + 4^k - 1]$  and  $[q4^k, q4^k + 4^k - 1]$  are colored identically if  $f(p) = f(q)$ , and have no color in common if  $f(p) \neq f(q)$ .

Proceeding with the lower bound in Theorem 2, we note that for  $k \geq 1$ , the colors of any aligned block of size  $4^k$  have the form  $UVVV$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are blocks of size  $4^{k-1}$ .

Next, we note that any block of size  $4^k$ , aligned or not, contains *at least*  $2^k$  colors. For let  $A$  be any block of size  $4^k$ . Let the first element of  $A$  lie in the aligned block  $S$  of size  $4^k$ , and let  $T$  be the aligned block of size  $4^k$  which immediately succeeds  $S$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  are colored identically, then the elements of  $f(A)$  are just a cyclic permutation of the elements of  $f(S)$ , and hence the block  $A$  contains exactly  $2^k$  colors. By Lemma 1, the remaining case is when  $S, T$  have no color in common. In this case, by the preceding paragraph,  $f(S)f(T) = UVVVXXYY$ , where no two of  $U, V, X, Y$  have a color in common,

and so  $U, V, X, Y$  are of size  $4^{k-1}$ . Then  $f(A)$ , which has size  $4^k$ , contains either  $UV$  or  $VX$  or  $XY$ , and so has at least  $2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} = 2^k$  colors.

Finally, we note that for  $s \geq 1, k \geq 1$ , every set of  $4^s$  consecutive aligned blocks of size  $4^k$  contains at least  $2^s$  blocks of size  $4^k$ , no two of which have a common color. This follows from the fact that these  $p^s$  blocks have the form  $[p4^k, p4^k + 4^k - 1], t \leq p \leq t + 4^s - 1$ , for some  $t$ . The block  $f([t, t + 4^s - 1])$  has at least  $2^s$  colors, by the preceding paragraph. If  $f(p) \neq f(q)$ , where  $t \leq p < q \leq t + 4^s - 1$ , then  $f(p4^k) \neq f(q4^k)$ , so by Lemma 1 the two blocks  $[p4^k, p4^k + 4^k - 1]$  and  $[q4^k, q4^k + 4^k - 1]$  have no color in common.

Now let  $A \subset \omega$  be given. Choose  $k$  so that  $4^{k-1} \leq \text{gs}(A) < 4^k$ . Choose  $t$  minimal so that  $A$  is contained in the union of  $t$  consecutive aligned blocks of size  $4^k$ . Then  $A$  meets each of these blocks (by the choice of  $k$ ), and

$$|A| \leq t4^k.$$

Choose  $s$  so that  $4^s \leq t < 4^{s+1}$ . Then among the  $t$  consecutive aligned blocks of size  $4^k$  are at least  $2^s$  blocks of size  $4^k$ , no two of which have a color in common. Since each of the  $t$  blocks meets  $A$ , we have

$$2^s \leq |f(A)|.$$

Thus  $|A| \leq t4^k < 4 \cdot 4^s \cdot 4 \cdot 4^{k-1} \leq 4|f(A)|^2 \cdot 4 \cdot \text{gs}(A)$ , so  $\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{|A|/\text{gs}A} < |f(A)|$ . □

□

## A bound for a van der Waerden function

**Definition 3.** For  $m \geq 1$ , let  $w(3; m)$  denote the smallest positive integer such that every  $m$ -coloring of  $[1, w(3; m)]$  produces a monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression.

**Theorem 3.** For all  $m \geq 1$ ,  $w(3; m) > \frac{1}{2}m^2$ .

*Proof.* For  $k \geq 1$ , the coloring  $f$  described in the introduction colors the interval  $[0, 2^{2k+1} - 1]$  with  $2^k$  colors. The colors are the sums (including 0 as the empty sum) of distinct elements of the set  $\{2^1, 2^3, 2^5, \dots, 2^{2k-1}\}$ . The color classes are subsets of the translates (by the  $2^k$  colors) of the set  $S_k$  of sums (including 0 as the empty sum) of distinct elements of the set  $\{2^0, 2^2, 2^4, \dots, 2^{2k}\} = \{4^0, 4^1, 4^2, \dots, 4^k\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S_k$  contains no 3-term arithmetic progression. Hence, with respect to the coloring  $f$ , there is no monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression in  $[0, 2^{2k+1} - 1]$ . The coloring  $f$  shows that for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $w(3; 2^k) > 2^{2k+1}$ . For a general  $m$ , choose  $k$  so that  $2^k \leq m < 2^{k+1}$ . Then  $w(3; m) \geq w(3; 2^k) > 2^{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2}2^{2k+2} < \frac{1}{2}m^2$ . □

## Remarks

1. The lower bound in Theorem 3 is not the best possible. Indeed, in the standard reference Ramsey Theory (by R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer, 2nd edition, 1990, John Wiley & Sons, New York), the authors show that for some positive constant  $c$ ,  $w(3; m) > m^{(c \log m)}$ .

2. Of course, one would like to have an upper bound for the function  $w(3; m)$ . The only bound known to me is  $w(3; m) < \left(\frac{m}{4}\right)^{3m}$  for  $m > 4$ . This bound comes from [9], and is mentioned in [12]. The coloring  $f$  on  $[0, 2^{2k+1} - 1]$ , with  $2^k$  colors, is perhaps “efficient” in stopping all monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progressions. Cutting the number of colors in half would seem to leave too few colors. If this were in fact true, then  $w(3; 2^{k-1}) \leq 2^{2k+1}$  would follow, and for general  $m$  one would then have  $\frac{1}{2}m^2 < w(3; m) < 8m^2$ .
3. Corollary 1 shows that a constant/1-1 canonical version of Theorem 1 is not true. We also know by the Bergelson/Hindman/McCutcheon example that a density version of Theorem 1 is not true. The following three simple examples, involving only 3-element sets, illustrate various combinations of the truth or falsity of the “constant/1-1 versions” and the “density versions.”
  - (a) The simplest non-trivial case of van der Waerden’s theorem says that every finite coloring of the positive integers produces a monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression. The constant/1-1 version of this result holds by the Erdős-Graham theorem, and the density version holds by Szemerédi’s theorem.
  - (b) Schur’s theorem says that if the positive integers are finitely colored, then there is a monochromatic solution of  $x + y = z$ . The density version does not hold by taking all the odd integers. The constant/1-1 version does not hold by coloring each  $x$  with the highest power of 2 dividing  $x$ .
  - (c) At the meeting, Kevin O’Bryant showed me this example: if the positive integers are finitely colored, then there is a monochromatic 3-term geometric progression (a set of the form  $\{a, ad, ad^2\}$ ). To get the constant/1-1 version, let a coloring  $g$  of the positive integers be given. Define a new coloring  $h$  by setting  $h(x) = g(2^x)$ . Then, by the Erdős-Graham theorem, there is a set  $\{a, a + d, a + 2d\}$  on which the coloring  $h$  is either constant or 1-1. The density version does not hold, since the set of square-free numbers has positive density.
  - (d) It seems natural to ask for a collection  $P$  of 3-element sets (if such a collection exists!) for which: (i) Every set of positive integers with positive upper density contains an element of  $P$ ; (ii) It’s not the case that for every coloring of the positive integers, there is an element of  $P$  on which the coloring is either constant or 1-1.
4. It would be nice to be able to say *something* about general colorings along the lines of Theorem 1. Perhaps the following is true: if  $\omega \rightarrow \omega$  is an arbitrary coloring of  $\omega$ , then there exist a fixed  $d \geq 1$  and arbitrarily large (finite) sets  $A$  with  $gs(A) = d$  such that either
  - (a) at most  $\sqrt{|A|}$  distinct colors appear in  $g|_A$ ; or
  - (b) each color appears in  $g|_A$  at most  $\sqrt{|A|}$  times.

Note that for the particular coloring  $f$ , if we take  $d = 1$ , and let  $A = [0, 4^k - 1]$ , then exactly  $\sqrt{|A|}$  distinct colors appear in  $f|_A$ , and each color appears in  $f|_A$  exactly  $\sqrt{|A|}$  times.
5. We have used a particular partition of  $\omega$ . We would get another partition of  $\omega$  (into infinitely many translates of an infinite set) by replacing the odd powers of 2 and the even powers of 2 by arbitrary

$A$  and  $B$ , where  $\{A, B\}$  is any partition of  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  into two infinite sets. Perhaps it's possible to describe *all* of the partitions of  $\omega$  into infinitely many translates of an infinite set.

## References

- [1] J. Beck, *A remark concerning arithmetic progressions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **29** (1980), 376–377.
- [2] V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, and R. McCutcheon, *Notions of size and combinatorial properties of quotient sets in semigroups*, Topology Proc. **23** (1998), 23–60.
- [3] T.C. Brown, *On locally finite semigroups (in russian)*, Ukraine Math. J. **20** (1968), 732–738.
- [4] ———, *An interesting combinatorial method in the theory of locally finite semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **36** (1971), 285–289.
- [5] ———, *On the canonical version of a theorem in Ramsey theory*, Special Issue on Ramsey Theory, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing **12** (2003), 513–514.
- [6] A. de Luca and S. Stefano Varricchio, *Finiteness and regularity in semigroups and formal languages*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1998.
- [7] P. Erdős and R.L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 28, Université de Genève, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [8] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the stone-Čech compactification*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1998.
- [9] Y.R. Huang and J. S. Yang, *New upper bounds for van der waerden numbers  $w(3, n)$* , Chinese Annals of Math. Series A **21** (2000), 631–634.
- [10] J. Justin, *Théorème de van der Waerden, Lemme de Brown et demi-groupes répétitifs (unpublished preprint)*, Journées sur la théorie algébrique des Demi-groupes, Faculté de Sciences de Lyon, 1971.
- [11] Gérard Lallement, *Semigroups and combinatorial applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane, 1979.
- [12] B.M. Landman and A. Robertson, *Ramsey theory on the integers*, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [13] H. Straubing, *The burnside problem for semigroups of matrices*, Combinatorics on Words, Progress and Perspectives, Academic Press, 1982, pp. 279–295.
- [14] E. Szemerédi, *On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in an arithmetic progression*, Acta. Arith. **27** (1975), 199–245, Collection of articles in memory of Jurii Vladimirovic Linnik.
- [15] B.L. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer baudetschen vermutung*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **15** (1927), 212–216.